April 6, 1969

Mr. Carl W. Belcher, hief General Crimes Section Oriminal Division Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530

Deer Mr. Belcher,

Enclosed is a copy of a letter I today sent Dr. Jenes Phoeda, Archivist of the United States. I hope you will take the time to consider its complaint in the context of your letter to me of March 26.

you wrote me. I am also offering you a chance to astablish the good faith of the Department of Justice. I believe the insistent refused of the Archives to provide me with a copy of what believe I am entitled to exact an artificial and the inconsistent with what you seem to believe to be the case as it is with any kind of decent scholarship or homest administration of the rehives. I believe it is raw suppression, by the exercise of raw power slone. It memorandus of transfer. I have known about it since late 1966. The panel existence had been officially denied to me.

If you do not understand the significance of my comments relative to CD47:7, let me explain that this, although the FBI is correctly to disquise it and almost everything also it deemed it could hide, refers to an excess in Chicago immediately prior to the assessination of a men who referred agents believed threatened the President. For may recell his trip at that time was abruptly cancelled. My investigation cutside the Warren Commission files reveals much, including federal interest. As I wrote "r. Thoods, either the pertinent reports about this incident are in his files and I am entitled to them or a statement of reason for their denial or they are not there and should be, in which event this would still be true, his is not the only such case, i sail it to your attention because it is immediately before me, of essential information of immediate interest to the Commission. Can there be any doubt this is precisely what the Commission was supposed to be investigating, or of its interest in other threats against the President, etc.?

I do hope you will look into this and help me obtain what I believe I am properly entitled to, is both cases.

Sincerely.