Mr. William Schaffer Civil Division Department of Justice Wash., D.C. 20530

Dear Bill,

Jim phened me Friday about another matter. He then told me that he had apoken with Lynne, who told him to tell me to forget about ohn Duganes concern about the tapes I am to send getting lost in the internal mail, to just send them to her. Getting this message back and by indirection required more than two weeks. Jim also told me that Lynne is anxious to get what I am doing. Not anxious enough for either of you to respond to the time I've taken to try to serve you. However, I report on that.

I have spent about 80 hours collecting the notes, which I was not able to file as I made them, comparing them with what I sent to in contemporaneously and assembling the most complete set possible and them beginning a review of them. I am at EQ Section 40. Because I will be having to do the same thing with what I have written to the equally unresponsive FBI it may be a fair estimate that it will take me about two hours per Section.

Getting this much done has required that I put in days that have run to 20 hours. I have also had medical and dental appointments and a death in the family. There was the considerable amount of time rewired by the FBI's release of the material it has been denying me for up to almost nine years, what I testified to a year ago this past September in this suit. Responding to inquiries of the press has taken much time. While it has now tapered off it may not be over.

I did not begin dictating to a tape recorder when I began for the reasons about which I wrote you, also without response. Since then, aside from Dugan's legitinate apprehension over what can happen to an only tape in the sails, I have my own apprehensions about your (plural) good faith in all of this. I will not be sailing any tape until I have been able to make a dub to protect against loss and any other contingency. As of Thursday my auxiliary tape recorders had not been picked up by Sony for repair. (It is this way in the country. I am not prepared to but another and unnecessary tape recorder.) And I am awaiting some tangible evidence of good faith. As examples, a few of the many available, you personally have not informed me of what compensations I am to receive (you told me the rate for consultancies but I have no idea what that is) and after three weeks and after writing to you about it I still await the missing Sug G Sections the PMI said needed only meroxing when it admitted three weeks ago that it had forgetten to include them in the copies it had made — of what it had earlier neglected to provide after ascuring me it had.

This is an unusual situation you have created, in part by misrepresenting to the judge that I had refused to be your consultant in my suit against you. I had in fact said and written you that I would, upon demonstration of good faith, beginning with the FBI's responses where it could respond. As of now and since them it has not been able to run its zerox machines or to respond to simple inquiries it will not allege are incomprehensible. While I do not like the situation and do feel, based on my experiences since your initial offer, that it is merely another device for stalling me and misleading the judge, I have proceeded in good faith and this will continue.

This bisarre situation has grown more so with the FBI's JTK release of the 7th. Because I understand your purpose in asking me to be your consultant to be to avoid unnecessary litigation and time in court I mention this, too, although it was not my major purpose in writing you early on a Sunday morning.

The FBI did not notify me of the time of the release or the conditions of examination until the day before it was scheduled. If I had wanted to make an examination or if my obligation to you had permitted it a denital appointment and the difficulty or arranging transportation to keep it precluded my examination. Before the FBI bothered to write me it had made copies available to others. They, in turn, had had time to make copies for

still others, who were in touch with me. In addition, upon compliant from the media. copies were provided to the media of this partial release prior to my receipt of the FMI's balabed notification. Jim had written and asked for a waiver of fees for me, which also is added indication of my desire for these records. Neither he nor I heard further on it. Then there is the fact that I have about 25 JPK requests going back to 1968. They are without response even though I testified to them more than a year ago in this instant case. My testimony means that in addition to the fact of these requests all the lawyers involved in this case and the FBI POLA personnel involved are all privy to that particular noncompliance. The partial ricess includes material relevant to one of my samilest ignored requests. I still swait copies, even though it has been released, I likewise still swait even agknowledgement of the letters I wrote the IN about this of you to respond to the true

I've Aside from anything Jim may have told Lynne I started to reise the question of these unnet JPK requests with her the first two times we mat. While she expressed interest in avoiding unnecessary litigation she has not found time to discuss these untteres de a result I see no way of avoiding litigation over that. It has been quite hurtful to me. I doubt you will find as indefensible as FOLA recorders; I have written to the equality

You also should know that based on what I've been told of the content of these 40.001 FBI pages I have reason to believe that they hold what the FBI should have provided under discovery in my C.A.75-226 and did not provide. I'll be surprised if this is not also true of my G.A.75-1440 of time remixed by the FAT's release of the material it has been

If all of this seems unusual to you, then I tell you that your own division has yet to comply with my PA request of two years ago. It was not even acknowledged. Nuch later my wife filed one and received partial compliance. I believe her appeal is in limbo. And this also de aptat all unusual to a tage recorder when I began for the recount about which

What "in phoned me about is Wein Shea's letter of 12/6/77 in the came. I quote two parts: "As to all other Civil Bights Division records, the action of July 26 was the final administrative action for purposes of the Act. Your letter to Attorney General Bell does not set forth any adequate basis why the action should be reconsidered, given the facts available to me." (MY emphasis.) And, "Vudicial review of my action on this appeal is available to your client."

with regard to information available to Hr. Shee may I ask what in the world you asked me to be your consultant for if you do not use my carvious and not without the "facts" than can't be "available?" Not that I have any reason to believe there were not other and readily available sources of "facts" if Ar. Shee had any interest in fact. My own experiences with him are uniform - he wants so fast of any kind. He also does not taint his affidavite with them, as I am quite prepared to prove if that becomes necessary.

the letter concludes with the usual formality. In context I take it as an invitation to suc. This is shat I told Jim last night, accompants Mr. Shee. Only in this case have the complaint specify that. I do not want to file unnecessary suits. I want to file none. dut when all other options are exhausted. I have no choice. Your people were not listening to Jim when he spelled this out, the latest time in camera on 11/21. As your consultant I tell you that you will be hard put to find a case you will want to defend less than one in which Givil Rights is defendant. I am not going to take the time to spell it all out because when I have in the past I have not had even acknowledgement. I meet my obligations to you, I believe, when a inform you. I offer the opinion that in this case it may be particularly embarrancing to the Department. In court I will have no choice. I would encourage you to believe that there may well be other interests and that none of it will bring you joy. I tell you this with what I believe is an adequate understanding of the nature of the representations the Division will make or has sade to you. Absent any mign of good faith from the Department in this matter and given Mr. Shen's record with me I am not prepared to do more than elert you. Selieve me or not I am serving your interest in telling you this, not my own. If as now seems inevitable this goes to court you will learn soon enough. it had came exceen and hard a to others. They, he have, had he dies to seem employ for