Informants - 70-JFK

JFK and Ring assassination and På records appeals — Herold Weisberg 1/13/80 Informants — Robert Keffke — Withholding of what is disclosed in Warren Commission records

Whale the records to which I refer below are of the JR assammination investigation the principles apply to the King case and all relates to a PA appeal on which you have not exted.

There is no question about the right and need to protect authentically confidential information, those who provide real information as distinguished from their own fabrications for their own purposes. You will, I as confident, recall that I have notified you and the FMI of what I believed to be inseventent disclosures of informati identification so that reading soon copies could be replaced with those not making identification disclosure.

Serial A is one of many 105-32555 records relating to Rebert Kafike, an informent of the San francisco police and the FEL. By earlier appeals relating to him include records from other files. With report to this Serial I also appeal the withholdings by excision.

Although I perceived that Eaflike was an informant before locating Fill records in which it discloses this fact and I believe I then appealed, will records do include his identification, by nesse and role while oothers add confusion and can cause have to others because of the withholdings of what the Fill Itself disclosed.

FREEC's reason for disclosing Kaffine's identification is stated in Secial 2542:
"Bureau questions the veracity...and is light of Kaffke's expressions of sympathy
for Kamasa Castro Eureau does not desire to protect his identity (obliteration)?

Philip was correct in questioning Kafike's veracity when he reported that one arould Kesaler had direct with Oscald in Mexico City long after Oscald had left there. The resulting investigation, which extended to South America, was quite costly and although this fairy take had not been confirmed, it had been reported to the Warren 'ommission, which was influenced by it.

Keffbe subject file

This parellels many situations in the Ming investigation, a number of which I have appealed. There the FMI made selective disclosure of the identification of informants, limiting it to those it manted the House assessing consistee to be deceived and misled by. This is what happened and now the Department's newest solf-investigation is limited to this bokum. Naturally enough there will be a calif-asserbed clean bill of boolth.

In the King case the PM also engaged in tricky filing, eliminating from its MMOTH file those records next embarressing to it, like its intrusions into the legal defenses of the May brothern/ through informants of whom two are known. Patterson and deposit. Here it gets even trickeries, with the Department's selection of the records to be empired by the Court, which lacks knowledge of such natters as what has been disclosed, like the identifications of informate. The potential for decadving and misleading the Court is increased by your failure to not on my appeals of long ago.

With regard to hadden, I filed a PA appeal in which I esked for a scarch of all relevant files to see if he informed on me, firectly or indirectly, from his having been present at a study group I was asked to address and from his association with one who was then a friend of mine. I did file P A requests with his and all field offices. And while holding as to be a public person the PAI did make colective disclosure of falls and defeastory records relating to me in both hing and JFE cases.

If the Fil can withhold or disclose on the basis of its liking or dislike of views or its opinion of verscity. I do not believe it can apply this standard inconsistently, exhitrarily and capricionally in historical cases.