MEMORANDUM FOR MR. TOLDON

AR. BELLIONT

isolo in Ullin

ME. SULLIVAN

MR. ROSEN

MIII. WALLEY

MR. DE LOACH

Jack Ruby

On January 23, 1964, Mr. J. Lee Fankin, Ceneral Counsel of the Presidential Commission headed by Chici Justice Earl Warren, called to see me. He stated that the Commission had asked him to personally see me relative to a states in which the Commission members were extremely interested.

He stated that there had appeared before the Commission, Mr. Heary M. Wade, a former Special Agent of the Bureau and who is now State District Attorney at Ballac, Texas. He stated Wade advised the Commission that he had left the Eureau with a good record and that, in fact, the Bureau had endeavered to discusse him from Isaving. (The summary on Wade, dated January 29, 1934, which is attached, does not substantiate this last statement of Wade as it will be noted that a few months after leaving the Bureau, he sought reinstatement, stating he had made a mistake in resigning, but his request for reinstatement was refused since the Bureau's request for his deferment under the Selective Bervice Act had already been withdrawn.)

with an excellent record and there was no indication of any differences which he might have had with the Eureau.

Air. Hankin stated that Mr. Wade had advised the Commission that a reporter by the name of Lonnie Finikins of the Mousion Fost, Houston, Treas, the printed an article to the effect that Lee Harvey Oswald was a confident in the FBI.

 Lay honent	(with	original	Only
 		م مر ده ن	OHLY

194 FEB 7 1961

UET: EDM (11)

gam N

~22FEB 6 7964

MAIL ROON TELETYPE UNIT

PERS. REC. UNIT

PLH ITEM -#123

- Arandum for Messra. Tolson, Belmont, Monr, Sullivan, Rosen,

Mr. Wade further advised the Commission that Lee Harvey Osmald but e the designation of "179" as an informant of the FM and was gold \$200 a month. Apparently the Commission questioned Nr. Wade at some length about this and Mr. Wade further adviced the Commission that he, Nr. Wade, while in the Bureau worked in Louin America for the FM. I note from the surmary attached on Wade that he had an FM assignment at Culto, Acuacor, during 1342 and 1843. I note further that he was placed on leave without pay on June 1, 1842, and departed from Wagnington for Quito, Honador, on July 29, 1842, arriving in Culto in August, 1842. Uffective September 1, 1842, he was placed on the confidential rolls. On May 20, 1843, he submitted his resignation with active duty ceasing at the close of business May 30, 1843.

Wir. Sankin stated that Wade informed the Commission that he was supplied from time to time with various sums of money by the FSI for which he did not have to account nor obtain any receipt from the person or persons to whom he disbursed the money. Mr. Wade sicted to the Commission that there was no record of how such funds were spent nor for what purposes they were used by the employee of the FBI to whom the money was given. The Commission, according to her. Rankin, concluded that there would be no record of expenditures at Washington, D. C., or any other place in the FBI showing who were employed as confidential informents or the amount paid to them.

Mr. Rankin stated that the Commission was concerned as to how this matter could be resolved, and it was for this reason that they had asked hill to see me. He stated the Commission did not desire to initiate an investigation on the outside, such as the calling of Mr. Madkins, who was the originator of the story and from whom apparently Wade gained his first information as it might appear the Commission was investigating the FNI.

I told Mr. Bankin that I thought the Commission should immediately call Mr. Hudkins before it, place lain under onta, and demand of him the source of his information. I stated that I doubted he would give it to them and would either take the position he could not recall from where he obtained it or recaff to the claim that a newspaper reporter's sources are privileged.

I told Mr. Rankin further that insolar as Mr. Wade's statements were concerned as to how monies were discurded within the Durgas, off hand I could not speak authoritatively upon the discursement of funds during the

Wenforandum for Mesers. Tolson, Belatont, Moir, Sullivan, Roson, Lalley, DeLoach

operation of our SIS, but I did not believe that there was any such loose accumulated of our finds as had been indicated. I told him had in that I most certainly could state that at least for the last twenty years I know him. Wade's statements would not hold water.

I then outlined to Mr. Renkin generally the procedures that are followed, namely, that every cent is strictly accounted for and the identity or every informant is known to and a record maintained of at the Washington headquarters of the Bureau with indications of the amount of money that is paid to him.

hir. Rankin inquired of the 28 to how I would suggest this aspect be developed. I told hir. Rankin I would be very willing to make an ailidavit covering these matters or to appear in person and testify under onth as to what the facts were.

I told hir. Rankin that Lee Farvey Oswald was never at any time a confidential informant, an undercover agest, or even decurce of information for the FDI, and I would like to see that clearly stated on the record of the Commission and I would be willing to so state under oath.

I asked for and received from hir. Belmont a memorandum as to the handling of funds within the Eureau and it does show that there has been strict accounting of our monies disbursed by the Eureau under SIS as well as subsequent to our withdrawal from SIE operations.

I would like to now have a further analysis of exactly how Wade operated and how monies were paid to him as well as a listing of the funds supplied him and what disposition he made of thom. Wade has certainly grossly mishing prefed to the Presidential Commission the manner in which the flurged operates its informants and it is the desire of the Commission and most certainly that or anyself to have this charified and the record sof straight.

Mr. Rankin took occasion while he was here to express appredict on of the cooperation which has been extended by the Dureau to the Presidential Locumission and how helpful the Dureau has been to it. I commented to him

January 31, 1904

Portugues for Messrs. Tolson, Delmont, Mohr, Sullivan, Rosen,

that I had not appreciated the what I interpreted as carping criticism of the Chief Justice when he referred to the Bureau's report originally submitted to the Commission as being a "shelden" report and his more recent comment that the Commission was engaged in tilling in the "gaps" in the investigation. I stated that the report as originally prepared at the direction of the President was intended to be released as promptly as finished by the White Bouse in order to put an end to the many rumors always in circulation which had no haste in fact and that, therefore, the report was in a narrative form unlike the usual reports which are prepared for use in prosecutions. I stated that he he well know, we had now supplied to the Commission the so-called "raw material" which was the language of the Chief Justice and that these reports totaled over 10,000 pages and that he no doubt would receive some thousand more as the Investigation was still continuing.

As soon as I receive the memorandum which I have requested above, I will want thom to have the first memorandum which Mr. Rebuckt has sabmitted to me combined with such partiacht material so the momorandum which I have now requested into an affidavit which I may sign and have with me when I am called before the Presidential Commission and which I will ask the Commission to make a part of the record in addition to any statement which they request me to make or any answers to questions which they may propound.

Very truly yours,

J. EDGAR HOOVER

John Edgar Hoover
Director

SENT FROM D. O.
TIME: 30 (IM)

DATE 3 Feb 6-4

BY 17 15