

JFK and King assassination, PA appeals  
Other writers Item of King requests  
The DeLoach Operation  
— Historical case searches and disclosures

Harold Weisberg 11/2/79

It having been suggested to me that I might well read the book by former FBI Assistant Director Sullivan, when I took my wife to the bank tonight I bought the book and began to read it until she finished her business at the bank. I will be reading more of it when I can and I'm sure I'll then be writing you further, judging from the dust cover and the table of contents.

Please bear in mind a) that the judge involved you in the King case in an effort to expedite compliance and get that long case over with; b) that there is an Item of the request asking for information that was given to other writers, in response to which I received nothing <sup>but</sup> ~~and~~ a false claim that the FBI had not given any information to anyone; c) that I filed an affidavit in which I correctly identified Cartha DeLoach as the one in charge of such operations for the FBI, to which there also has been no response in three or four years; and d) that I appealed all of this long ago and repeatedly, even specifying the correct file number for searching.

My own knowledge of FBI methods is such that in the subject file I have established I have as the largest one called "control."

The publisher selected 11 subjects of the book for emphasis on the dust jacket. One reads, "The FBI's public relations mill and its control of the press."

The last sentence of the first paragraph of the introduction, by NBC's Bill Brown, reads, "I telephoned FBI headquarters in Washington and arranged for an appointment with the Crime Records Division, which, we all knew, functioned as a kind of press liaison office."

Strange that I knew this, this New York reporter knew it and says "we all knew," only, from its affidavit, nobody in the FBI knew and specifically FOIA Supervisor Tom Wiseman did not know. He swore to this on deposition.

But there did come a time when you all knew because I stated this in an un-

contested affidavit, produced proof of it months ago during the Wiseman deposition and specified it in many appeals - on none of which you have acted.

What does it take for the Department to recognize the Act and comply/with it?

What does it take to get action on an appeal perhaps three years old (not counting the initial, inclusive appeal, which is older), particularly after the judge involved the appeals office in an effort to get the case completed with compliance?