Deliberate violation of 5/5/77 standards, historical case standards, and statement of Director Kelley on this

You have not acted on my appeals from the withholding of FEI names in either the King of the JPK cases. In the King case these masses were akthheld after the judge issed an order prohibiting it, which was not appealed, and grigg to the processing of a single page of HARKIN records. In the JPK case this was done, arbitrarily and caprichously, in the last part of the processing of the Dallas records, but not in the first part of them. I had already provide that the FEI has to have known, a written statement from Director Kelley that this would not be done.

The arbitrariness and capriciousness and the inconsistency of the withholdings of these names because apparent when I provided you with what the PBI provided me, a list of not only the Dallas names, but the boos addresses and phone numbers.

Tet you did nothing at all, and more than a year has passed - under a 20-day appeal standard.

The deliberateness of this FM contempt for anything and everything in its determination to be lawless is apparent in the EQ general releases, which were completed prior to the processing of the field office files.

As I have told you, I believe that the FMI also intends harassment and making use of FOIA prohibitive for the requester by its deliberate violations of the Act and of standards under the Act with which it is supposed to comply.

Not only did the FMA not withhold FMA names in the processing of HM records -I emphasize before it processed any field office records - but it again provided lists of Dallas names, Filippe withheld in the Dallas processing, which was at HM.

it seems that in its determination to live with all those clicks that characteries FMI records when the/fMI handled the cartons if alleger Desald used in creating what he called his smipers nest if heglected to avoid adding fingerprints. Neither undertook to identify these added prints until the Commission asked it, which was rather that in the Commission asked it, which was rather fines in the Commission asked it, which was rather from the FMI to ascortain the names of all its late in the Commission asked it, which was rather from the Commission asked it, which was rather late in the Commission asked it, which was rather late in the Commission asked it, which was all its late in the Commission asked it, which the names of late in the FMI to asked there become not. There are many pages of lists of makes of FMI employees. The extent to which this was done was driven here to me when at one point (of pany) in 62-109060 Section 91 I found three consecutive pages of lists of Dallan FMI names, mostly CA names. This makes it apparent that the withholding of the same names in Dallas records was for extra-logal purposes, including haraschent and including FOIA costs. It is apparent that the FMI's claims for these withholdings are spurious as well as incommission and unnecessary.