

garrison

To Quin Sato from Harold Garrison
FBI communication records appealed
PA records appeal
Continued Department (and FBI) non-compliance
Criminal Division, File 129-41, through Station 200

6/29/00

When we can solve this option I will attach my 6/27 letter to Mr. Buckley, as in the past, I listed this as my appeal.

This most recent batch of Criminal Division records, which represents limited compliance after a delay of more than three years, holds copies of records that are pertinent in my PA requests of the FBI and all other Department components as well as reference to others not yet provided. I attach copies of pages I believe you should read and to which I refer in the letter to Mr. Buckley.

No copy of my response is provided and I recall none. I did write the Attorney General. A draft of a response is included. It was not sent to me. No record indicating why it was not sent is included, nor is there any such notation. I call to your attention that this is an OIG record and that OIG did not provide it and much else in response to my requests. I believe I appealed this some time ago. Here you have new proof.

If those records had not been withheld -- and they were not provided under discovery -- the history of C.I. 75-226 might have been different. Those records, including the draft, clearly prepared after the FBI was consulted, confirm the existence of the information I requested and for which I filed suit, but a different explanation is offered for non-compliance and for keeping it secret. This is contrary to the FBI's and Department's representations to the Court.

My appeals pertaining to my 1975, 1976 and 1977 requests were timely. If you had acted on them and had not been deceived in acting on them this might not have happened. Much federal money might have been saved if lost or wasted, such time required of Government Lawyers would not have been required and would have been available for other duties, and, of course, the litigation would have been avoided. One possible explanation is that

It was decided that the cost is justified as part of the FBI's explicit and stated intent to "stop" us and my writing. Another that suggests itself is "Family jewels."

The records I've just received and must reflect the need for records I do not recall receiving in C.A. 70-0322 to exist. They include the numbers of files from which I do not recall receiving any records. They indicate clearly that other files have not been searched and are pertinent. They refer to records not provided. No explanation of not providing the one other and referred-to records has been made.

Very refer to Garrison records not provided. There are many other areas of withholding but I think it is obvious that Garrison records are within C.A. 70-0322 and those appeals. If those missing records are not in the New Orleans files searched, how can your action on appeal have any meaning? Have you any way of knowing where outside the few files of the one selection the FBI has anything filed - if not hidden?

Or those at LA where I know Garrison had interest and whose names appear to be withheld in those Criminal Division sections I remember, all with regard to information I do not recall seeing in New Orleans records provided to me. Gordon Novel, Clay Shaw, Dean Adams Andrew, Richard Gene Nagell, "E. Carl Pettib," whose other aliases include Jim Ross, and a man named Buch. All these are public, but all the pertinent FBI records are not disclosed.

This one batch of records shows that other components with records not provided are the (now part of Criminal), CIU, CIB, CID and RUSA, to which there are 15 new references. Doesn't any component ~~and~~ make an honest search, an honest effort to comply?