Tills not sear hed

JFK assassination records appeals
Four 10/30/79
SAC letters
HQ 62-7226, and a new one,
HQ 62-109717

Harold Weisberg 3/12/80

Your response to my 9/21/79 said you had asked the FEI to respond directly re the captioned matters.

But you didn't say when.

And it hasn't.

62-109771 appears to be on the implimentation of the recommendations of the Warren Commission, certainly pertinent to any seriously intended full historical case disclosure.

62-

When I asked about 7225 I had reason to believe that it also pertained.

There are increasing indications, as I am able to review materials, that the FBI has and knows it has pertinent files it pretends do not exist.

How about eliminating some of the Catch-22s?

You say requests should be by subject. The FMI does not respond to them.

I make inclusive requests and when the FBI responds it is not inclusive.

Either way there is non-compliance.

The act requires only that a request be for reasonably identifiable information, and regulations require that I be asked if for any reason my request is not understood. I have not received any such letter, pertaining to any request.

You ask the FSI to respond to me and it doesn't in almost a half year, pertaining to requests that go back more than a decade.

If 62-7225 is not a file pertaining to the Warren Commission how big a deal is it for the FBI to inform me of this?

I think it would be a more productive and less costly practise if you ask the FBI to respond to you and you merely send me a copy, with this and in general. There might be a greater likelihood of my ever hearing and you would have your own means of knowing whether or not any response is made.