beeld office appeals

JFK assassination records appeals
Improper classifications (a 2040 job)
Withholding of the public domain
Field office records

Harold Weisberg 9/3/79

Some time ago, when I first came accross the attached FEI 0-79 form covering N.O. 100-16601-1245, I may have appealed demials. The amount of time required to check improper and secundary improper and unnecessary FEI withholdings is considerable, as is the time required i f one is not to agree that the FEI has limitless licence to violate the act, results it and in the course rewrite an important part of american history. forement

With this form FRUNC, through its/specialist in improper and unnecessary which fications, 2040, directed N.O. to classify an unclassified record. The record relates to an offbeat aniotherwise suspect preached named Albert Osberne, aka John Noward Bowen.

With a considerable assist from unjustified and unjustifibale withholdings relating to him a vast assassination conspiracy theory that in fact has no basis was launched. It lingers as one of the many distinguished that tends to divert interest from the actual record of the FMT.

If Osborne is still alive # as I recall he was in his 70s when he was on the seme bus as Oswald en route to Mexico City - there is precious little about him that is not ecoparation within the public desain. This included the assumptions of foreign police in checking. And not only police- other government agencies.

There would not appear to be any other classification figles on which the FHI and is why what is its 2040 could have stead. It believe that to hide this minimis reasonably segregable was also withheld, for the reasonably segregable information would disclose this FHI peraleting trickery. This is also a persisting FMI device for escalating all costs, maiding use of the act cumbersons and difficult and overburdening courts and requesters.

The underlying record, which is also in Dallas files and is 105-02555-1750 at NQ, is from Logat Ottawa, where it is 163-364. This discloses all that FBURD and its ever-willing 2040, supported by its rubber-stemping DCRU, sought to hide because the nere fact of 163 filing means "Foreign Colice Cooperation." Naturally when there is no real security question, it also means "" Security-related Chaseification."

Hereover, the fact of Canadian and Nexican police and other authorities in both the King and JW investigations has been public domain from the first in both cases. It was made public domain by the "epartment and the FEL, with the unrestricted information provided to and disclosed by the Marren Commission and provided to and disclosed by the Marren Commission and provided to and disclosed by the Marren Popular properties. And if this were not the case, as it is, there can't be many people, including those whose reading is limited to comic strips, who can be unaware of foreign police copporation.

So there was and is nothing to keep secret. The sale purpose served by this kind of withholding is to excute scated costs in processing the record, no longer a more cobbase industry with the FMI, and to Cointalpro all others, requesters, the courte and the people whose right to know supposedly in served by the Act.

If the time, effort and maney thus wasted, of which this is but an insignificantly amall sample, were deverted to the PRI's leaved purposes there could be much good from it.

Four our involvement in this micross of the Act and requestors cannot be avoided because of what you did and did not do in my C.A. 78-0269, in which you provided an unduly restrictive and otherwise rubber-standing of lidewit by means of which the minimaking of the Court by the Department and the FMI mas furthered. This is also to say contributed to the negating of the Act and the masteful and improper escalabing of all costs.

I was forced to file that action when the FM stancealled my request for all information relating to the so-called general JW assessination records displayment. You also stonewalled my appeal, which covered overything included in my request. In fact you have not yet acted on it and an amount of time that oncessing the claimed backlog has passed. To deceive and misland and violate the act to avoid embarrasement to it the FBI made the obviously galso proteurs that my request was limited to the processing workshoets. You want along with this and even provided a micloading affidavit. All you did on appeal is clock the claimed exemptions on the workshoets with those claimed on the underlying records. If as is the fact and I proved to be the fact the claims in the underlying records are spurious.

In this you made the part of the appeal you did not ignore meaningless and made a not inconsiderable contribution to the still-growing costs. That matter is now before the appeals court, yet there is no question of fact.

It is a fact that with regard to each and every withholding relating to the false claim to need to keep secret the cooperation of foreign police I provided records in which in each and every case, individually, the PSI and the Department had made specific disclosure of the identification of the foreign copperating agency. By specific I mean with regard to each underlying record and each companion ent my on the motionheets.

It/remains an uncontested fact also that my request was not limited to the workshoots.

You all knew you had a judge who had a record of and even stated openinky in court that he generally did, without question, whatbithe FM exhed.

Going along with this there appears to be unhidden hanky-peaky in getting my other cases transferred to hims, with like consequences to the Act and non-compliance and perpetuating wastes and costs. It is inevitable that if I do not permist with this litigation others of like interest will sail the whole matter will cost and waste money and time all over again.

All of this could harly be more contemptuous of the historical case finding, too, for under it and the Act you are combined in withholding whatever not withheld prior to enactment of FOLA and more, became a compaign of withholding after the 1974 mending of the Act.

If a Congressional consistes ever takes an interest in this and what it represents and calls you and the stanswallers like 2040 and others like him and goes into the facts and the violation of the Sescutive Order I think it would be a cathernis long overdue.

It might and I think it should put a oring in the other false and emaggerated official representations made to the Congress in an effort to gut the Act with the real purpose hidden, the purpose of determing exposure of what needs exposure so that the made agencies can be cleaned and made both leaded and more first effectiont, national needs.

I am without doubt that if this happens and if any committee makes a real study of

of the factual record I have made in all my many cases. I no-emphasize without contindiction - and I add with regular deceptions and misleadings of the Congress - the result would or could be a valuable service to the agencies and to the country if not also perhaps sensational.

old and repeated often enough on which you have not coted. (My appeal in C.A. 70000000 is a year and a half old.)

Appropriate for a review under the provisions of the new E.O., that all claims to classification be reviewed under its standards, is both ignored and a mockery of the President.

As you know from copies of recerds I have provided, more than a decade ago the FMI determined that it had to "stop" me and my writing, even committing in spurious libel actions to this end only for those stalmarts SAs to chacken out rather than confront fact in open court.

When there was none of these phoney heros with a willingness to go along with this FMI plot the alternative of endloss stonewalling, including of virtually all appeals, we fixed upon. This then extended to permisting and insume false asserings, beenhaded I was forced into a public role, one you personally agreed I serve, thus because of my unique expertise forcing up to contest in the public interest what is of absolutely no interest to se in my own work, of which the Osberne/Bowen matter is illustrative. In then this does "stop" my writing, which the FMI and Papartment annot fault on fact, which is the 1966 objective.

I do not hide my dislike for spending so much of what remains of my life to this, particularly not when on a mouring like this this my illnesse made se unsteady and even walking unsafe for me. But if I have no choice I will permist to the degree I can and if the opportunity provides itself make other efforts. I believe it might surprise the Congress, whether or not the AG and FBI Director, in whose names all of this is done, if there could be a full airing of what the FBI has done and continues to do and is memberhed in it buffles accords memberhed in it buffles accords memberhed in it buffles accords