Terris

June 2, 1969

Attorney General John Mitchell Deportment of Justice Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Mitchell,

After I twice wrote you beginning three menths age, I get a men-responsive reply, for you, in the name of your Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Grininal Division, from his Ghiof of the General Grimes Section. Without my ever having getten easy kind of honest or mentingful enewer to any inquiry of your Department, under any edministration, this one began with the bald statement "that further exchange of carrespondence between yourself and the Department of Justice on this matter will, serve no useful purpose."

At this point, after five transvered letters scheepent to my rescipt of this securete forecast that you would never respect, letters is thick I ested for ecoses to that I am estitled to takes the law it is your obligation to enderso, it looks very much as if the Department of Justice is more aftered that correspondence make serve a mostal physics, a purpose of force.

As I wrote earlier, I to understand that busy emcetives must be a those under them what they demnet estend personally, as they must also depend upon others for the information they have. This is no my diminishes the responsibility of those in charge. The Attorney Concret still rune the Depublicant of Justice, It is, I believe, your responsibility to see that the laws are observed, by you and by your Depublicant, as it is to see that citizens making proper inquiries get proper response within a rescenable time.

Then a ditizen sake his Department of Justice for access to court records and commet get an enemer, things have peaced a deplorable state in a country such as curs. I have made this request; you have not responded. Practically, this means you have parased me, I believe you camet.

After you or your office peterred my first two letters to Mr. Belaher I thereafter upote him. Because he has not once responded, in any way, I again address you. I have two purposes. To the degree I can, I want to be servein that you know the situation, for the responsibility is yours, and, if necessary, I want to invoke the laws that entitle me to that which I seek. I prefer not to have to resort to this, as I would hope you would, too.

I made specific requests for specific information in letters to your Department between March 30 and April 25. If I am refused this information, I respectfully request citation of the authority under which you refuse it. In each case I also ask that you provide me with the forms and instructions I will need to seek to obtain this information under the "Freedom of Information"

law, It is my intention to invoke the provisions of this law, if necessary. May I call to your attention that I have, in the post, sained the Government for the means of utilizing this law without ever having been so equipped? I do not think this was the intent of Congress in exacting the law.

Among thosephorments I have sought unsuscessfully is a newspender of transfer of the President Kennedy sutopsy meterial, as set forth and described in earlier correspondence in your files. Respectfully I call to your stantion the fact that this document is one of the working papers of the special panel convened by your predocument and by it was so inventoried. I believe this removes it from any executive authority to withhold it and herewith remove my request for it.

Under the provious edministration, when I saked for secons to the improperly-mothed David W. Ferris meterial, I was told by Mr. Viason that a review was under way. I have since saked the results of this review andshows had no response. I remove the question, remove the request for this meterial, and would like the necessary instructions and forms for application under the above-cited law should I again be demied. May I, in this connection, call to your attention the seeming impropriety and the inconsistency in the government claiming in court, to a litigent, that he has not exhausted his administrative remodies while the same government denies snother access to his administrative remodies?

While I am unwilling to believe it, when I was informed that agents of the Federal Bureau of avestigation were defining me, I did call this report to your attention, believing, as I do, that there should be at least a pro forms denial of it. Aside from Mr. Belcher's assurance "that such conduct would be in complete disregard of Departmental and Bureau policy" as a his statement that a copy of my letter was sent "to the Director of the Bureau for his consideration" Takeve heard nothing. When that Bureau promises to send me a copy of its preser release and doesn't, and when that Director fails to respend to a written request for a press release, parkage I should not be surprised at the absence of a forthe-record denial. Account, I would prefer to think the Atterney General of the United States would not be content for the matter to rest here.

Inhers eften requested a copy of the spectrographic analysis of the bullet and fragments of bullets alleged to have been used in the marker of President on Kannedy. My written requests to the Director has never been answered. I hereby remove this request, caking, if I am denied, for a statement of the messon or reasons and the instructions and forms for invocation of the President of Information law, With regard to the Warren Commission file identified as GD47:7, I make the same requests, as I do with GD1269.

among those unenswared requests referred to above is the evidence presented in court in England. I would now like to broaden that to indicate that used in Memphis, directly and indirectly, in the case of James Farl Ray.

When I make requests of the National Archives, there now is a delay of not less than two months is fore there is any kind of response, when there is one. I believe this, in itself, clouds the purposes and integrity of the government. Your own Department does not respond at all. I do hope you will correct this, that you will agree that when a citizen and more, a writer, makes proper inquiry of the Government, response should be as prompt as possible.