Deputy Attorney General Deputy Attorney General Deputy Attorney General Deputy of Justice Vandington, D.C.

Deer Mr. Meindlenet.

Your Desertment has engaged in a systematic effort to vitiate the clear intent of Congress and the law on "Freedom of Information" to the point that incuiries properly made ere ignored. Seeking of you want is my right and your obligation to respond to has been converted into a futility. Even so simple a request for forms you require for citizens to use the law is blotantly ighored. Moreover, when I saked for copies of your instructions two days ago at two different offices of your Department, not only wes " not given any, but in the proper office they even declined to take my name and address so they could mail tubes instructions to me. I howe, in the post, addressed a muster of respects to the Attorney Comerci. He has, on not one occasion, made response. I have select of your office that when my requests were rejected, as I enticipated they would be, the record indicating this is sutematic when not ignored, it be in the name of the Attorney General so that the organized mechanism for delaying on would not be put into play again. In every cases, this has not been done. I have tares times addressed appeals from decisions to the afterney Comerci only to have them else ignored. I regard this record as one is which your Demotrant has affectively suggestered ony rights to insist upon compliance with those rules you employ only to frustrate my proper requests and, in the event it becomes necessary, on property to test this in court.

I would prefer that this not become necessary, that you change your ways, start making response, eliminate the description and falsehood from them-in short, resognize that Congress peacess law and Presidents sign than so that they will be aboved, most of all by that Department in shows care the sendity and integrity of the law is vested. Or, the Department from which we have been bearing so much about that it calls "law and order". Like shority, I suggest that should begin at bome.

three cases my most recent requests have been made some time ago. In not one of them has there been response.

Two of tame are conspicuously flaggent, and I single them out for explanation. By first request for the spectrographic saviyate of the bullet, frequents of bullet and objects said to have been struck by either shee the President was assessmented and Covernor Connelly injured in detect in May 1966. There has never been response to it or its subsequent repotition. I addressed a request for this same public, non-secret information to the attorney Gaparal 40 days ago. My first request of your Department for those dispasses rab ting to the late William Ferrie of New Orleans was made under the provious consideration, and my most recent, still unappeared, was addressed to your office two make ago.

Because the record does not encourage belief you will provide what I seek with recourse to the cours, I feel it would be unwise for me to disclose everything I can. But because I want voluntary compliance with the law and because deeplte your best contrary efforts, In do not want to have this result in emberrosement for you or the government. I do suggest some of them.

With regard to the apportrographic analysis, if you are not aware of it, not then having been in your present position, I think you should know that If it does not egree in the most minute detail with the interpretation put upon it by the Werren Commission, their Report is a fiction. It was, in ways I do not explain, "considered by" that Commission. These words are from the executive order of the Attorney General of October 31, 1966. Moreover, it was, to all practicaly purposes, made public and published in different form, repeatedly, by the Commission. Most recently, this was done by fermer Dalles Chief of Police Jesse Curry, in a book bearing his nems, When I asked for it of the Metional Archives, in person, the day this executive order was reported in the press, in my presence a representative of your Department told the Metional Trains it had been transferred there pursuant to this order. Then we checked the file is cited, we found it was but a persparess. To the best of my knowledge, there he s been no response to the report made to him that this was not the analysis itself. Tais enslysic involved no secret processes, no informents wisee identities meed be bidden, no defenetions of the innocent, and does not in any way fall mater the right to withhold embodied in any of the guidlines for withholding.

Your Department, through Mr. Vincon, told me the verious decuments relating to David Verrie were being reviewed with the intent of seeing mether they would be made eveilable. I never heard further from him. The National Archives told me it had no knowledge of any such review. Obviously, it is impossible for me to provide you with an identification of each and every such suppressed decument, but to the degree I can, it is already in your files. In fairness to you, for I do not seek seended but I do seek information I believe is properly mine, I want you to know that I have some of what is said to be withheld and it cannot possibly be withheld preparly. As I have already explained, what might tend to reflect upon the innocent has already been made public, return extensively, by the men involved and by their attempt, in a book and its semiclisation. Ferrie mincelf is deed, was unmarried, and his sexual tentes are public knowledge in a variety of ways, including but not limited to public reporting of crimical charges egainst him for them and in his contesting of these charges and his subsequent loss of employment because of them.

Tith regard to the photograph identified as FRI Exhibit 60, requested in my letter of April 28, 1970, addressed to the Attorney General, I provide this information and request:

Tale is a picture of President Sennedy's shirt. The shirt itself is eliminated from examination and study and any taking of pictures of it is prevented on the seemingly proper ground that neither the government nor his estate went any undignified or sensational use of it. I have explored this thoroughly with the Setional President and the representative of the estate, verbally and in extensive correspondence. Assever, there is no use to which the evaluable pictures can be put that is of any other nature, for they show nothing but his blood. This is not what I want to study or, perhaps, to show any chief purpose is study).

FRI Exhibit 60 is available at the National Archives and it has been sublished by the Marron Gomission and by ethers, however, momeons in your Department has gone to some trouble to see to it that the photograph at the Mational Archives is entirely useless for any serious study or to essure that it can be used only for no other than undignified or sensational purposes. Instead of a photographic print there is a photograph of the printed page. Now FBI Exhibit 50 is not lithographic but is photographic it nature. With the screen built-in for printing, ony enlargement to effectively precluded. My interest is the only mon-samestionel one. It is restricted to the tebs of the saint through which a bellet is mileged to neve passed. I do not, really, went the entire picture, and I would much prefer the largest clear enlargement you can have made of just this very small area of the shirt. My purpose is as simple as it is obvious. It is entirely restricted to a study of the decage to the shirt by the elleged bullet. I would much prefer and enlargement of this very small eres of the shirt, which - would sliminste all the gore, to a standard Gx10 glossy print of the emilit itself. If you will not do this, so I hope you will, then I will secopt the clearest possible photograph of the original negative of FET Exhibit 60. However, because I im confident the Department would prefer no suggestion that it is mithholding evidence relating to the murder of a President, I do hope you will provide me with the enlargement instead, enewing only the demage. It will be obvious, I hope, that there is no undignified use of such an enlargement of the original negative rist in remotely possible, even if I were intending to publish it. widen I am not.

The law, so you know better then I, imposes no burden upon me to make any explanation of what I seek under it. I nope you will understand that answe taken this time, gone to this trouble, in a sincere effort to put you in a position to understand that my purposes are serious, schelely, proper and entirely within the intent of Congress and covered by the law. If you will reflect but a moment, perhaps you will also understand that, at possible cost to myself, I have sought to put you in a position to save yourself and the Department embarrament if you do as you have in the past.

On the other band, I will no longer accept the standard Departmental whipping from pillar to post. One of these requests to which your Department has noter respended is four years old. The request embodied in my Sivil action 718-70 was a year old at the time you accepted to the perfectly proper request but only after I filed the action and you could no longer delay trial. If I have not heard from you within two weeks that you will comply with these requests, or if I get a rejection in any mame other than that of the attorney General, I will proceed with further civil actions. I would much prefer to avoid this. Host it nearely, I hape you would also.

Sincerely,

Herold Weisberg



## U. . DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20530

REQUEST FOR ACCESS TO OFFICIAL RECORD UNDER 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 28 CFR PART 16

See histractions for payment	and delivery of this form	n at bottom of page		
WALLE OF PEOUSOFF		RESS (street, city, state and zip code)		
Harold Weisberg	Rt. 8. Frederick	. 8, Frederick, Md. 21701		
DATE		*		
	JMBER OF COPIES	OFFICE AND CITY WHERE REC	CORD	
IF YES, SO INDICATE (no more than 10 copies of any		IS LOCATED (if known) Washington, D.C.		
document will be furnished).  DESCRIPTION OF RECORD REQUESTED (include any information)	1 each			
Documents relating to the late David Warren Commission and/or the National Archives by order of Department of Justich documents and reason(s) for within	N. Ferrie of New O Archives, documentice. See my lett	rleans withheld from	To Ad 4	
LITICATION. DOES THIS REQUEST BELATE TO A MATTER	IN DEVIDING OF THE			
LITIGATION: DOES THIS REQUEST RELATE TO A MATTER FILL IN IF COURT (check one) DISTRICT	NAME OF CAS		NO T NUMBER	
IN PENDING → ☐ FEDERAL LITIGATION ☐ STATE	NAME OF CAS	DOCKE	I NUMBER	
FOR USE BY DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ONLY	A MINIMUM FEE C	SIGNAT OF \$3.00 MUST ACCOMPANY	THIS REQUEST.	
THIS REQUEST IS:	OTHER CHARGES	ARE AS FOLLOWS. (do not	write in this box)	
GRANTED	HOUR SPENT IN	FOR SECOND AND EACH ADDITIONAL ONE QUARTER HOUR SPENT IN SEARCHING FOR OR IDENTIFYING REQUESTED RECORD \$ 1.00		
DENIED	FOR EACH ONE REQUESTER'S EX	FOR EACH ONE QUARTER HOUR SPENT IN MONITORING REQUESTER'S EXAMINATION OF MATERIAL \$ 1.00		
	COPIES OF DOCI			
	50¢ FIRST P.	AGE, 25¢ EACH ADDITIONAL F	PAGE	
	FOR CERTIF	ICATION OF TRUE COPY \$ 1.0	0 EACH	
REFERRED	FOR ATTEST	TATION UNDER THE SEAL OF TMENT \$ 3.00 EACH		
	GSA CHARGE			
		TOTAL CHARGE		

Payment under this section shall be made in cash, or by United States money order, or by check payable to the Treasurer of the United States. Postage stamps will not be accepted.

This form may be delivered to any of the offices listed in 28 C. F. R. 16.2 or mailed to: Office of the Deputy Attorney General, Department of Justice, Washington, D. C. 20530