JFR-PBI Rifemals - Treasury - ATF

Mr. Paul (Illegible) Assistant to the Director (Disclosure) Deresu of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearns U.S. Treasury Mash, D.C. 20226

7627 Old Receiver Road Frederick, Md. 21701 2/6/80

Dear Mr. Paul (Illegible),

I also have an illegible signature, but to those she do not know no and for those from whom I have reason to expect correspondence I provide my name in legible form.

Today your form letter and entirely undescribed enclosures came. The stamped date is Feb 1 1980.

There is no earthly or uncarthly way in which I can relate what you send to the dequest you avoid identifying, except that you include a zerox of my 12/23/75 FOIA request of the Depathment of Justice. For your information that request is being litigated as G.A. 75-1996. The condag calendar sall is for this Friday.

At another point in these unbound enclosures there is a referral from of the Fal. It is stamp dated May 4,1970. However, I am left to assume that this referral relates to the case in court because you obliterated the names, not doubt perceiving the "Semonstrable farm" your form letter includes as a remove for the withholding. These people have not been afraid of harm from me in our many meets so I do not really believe they fear "desenstrable harp" from an usell sam she will seen be 67 years old. However, if you can specify this "demonstravie/ harm" I'm certain your seasons would be entertaining, if not persuasive.

what can be perceived is some embaurancement because you failed to act within the time specified in the Act and the FEI took a year or more to get around to the referral, notherithstanding repeated "summary judgement" noises in court and essurances that all beformule had been acted upon.

Your "demonstrable hars" fears are extensive. They include the United States Attorney in Dallas (was he secretly USA there?), the man King who tried to buy the Oswald rifle and sued the government (was that a secret trial and do I only imagine reading about it in the paper and receiving hundreds of pages relating to it from the PRTO) the common that sold (smald the wifth (Klatafa), the Objef of the General Crimes Section of the Department of Justice (who was so little finite of "deconstrable hurm" from an that he both signed and typed his name in correspondence) the name of your "Chief Counsel" (decs he wear a face musk and use an alias in court?), SMANNAR Semberd Traders in Los Angeles (they sold the Ogwald pistol, according to published facesimiles of the transfaction you will find in the Warren Report), the FOIA/PA branch objet of the FBI (sho is less timid that you and does sign it when he writes as, which is not infrequently), and a few others, almost all well known and all the others within an Order of the Court in C.A. 75-1996.

Your secrecy injects same confusion, in that you reflect receiving the referral and acting on it in May 1978 and yet also act on it under date of 2/1/80.

Your form includes but a single claim to examption, (b)(7)(C). Your record is not quite unique (only because you have such stiff competition). You have sithicited what is in the FSU's public reading room, the published materials of the Warren Consistant, its unclassified records readily svailable at the Mational Archives and the proceedings of the federal courts, not to mention radie, TV, newspapers, magazines and a number of books. To make this claim there must be a privacy to protect.

Some months ago I haven's from a 49. Minde Samethi at Treasury, they for and from a fir. Blake, as I recall the name. I reavily agreed to their taking more time, on the claim of meet. For this a need for more time? For what, checking the holy script? But I then did offer to help, particularly with regard to what is within the public domain. It now appears that the only reason my offer of help was not accepted was no that after going on two years you could withhold it.

The only "demonstrable hars" I see is to the Act, the great waste of tax money and to decency in government. And this is an appeal.

Sincerely,

Marold Metsberg