Of the many comments Director Boover added to records relating to the Report of the Warren Consission and its work and conclusions perhaps the most common was his plaint that the FBI had taken as "unduly restrictive attitude."

From sy exercioation of records the "unduly restrictive attitude " continue a and has been quite costly, including to the viability of the Acts.

There is a reflection of this in attached 62-103090-278, a report for the Hearst papers by Lea Whitten, written the day after the Report was published.

Barely legible at the bottom is a stamp indicating that na deleted copy was sent to Whitten with a letter of 9/21/76.

Obviously to purpose would have been served by deleting parts of the story Whitten himself had written and was published.

What remains is the "pover comment: "A real debunking of the FSI which we will never live down."

If there is an applicable exemption of the act I do not think of it. and why should the FBI have withheld from Whitten what it did not withheld from me and placed in its reading room, available to all others?

(The first of three pages only is attached. The other two consist of additional portions of the story only.)