JFK and King assassination records appeals Harold Removed records
June Hail
75 claims

Harold Weisberg 6/19/80

Attached are two different worksheets for 62-109060-4240 and parts of the serial).

Both worksheets are phonies. There also is reasonably segregable material on the one
page finally indicated as withheld.

In the King case the FM claimed that time accounting are accurate. This indicates otherwise.

The original worksheet for 4240 reads, under description, "Removed to Special File Reom." That Reom for Safekeeping." Under claim to exemption is says "Check Special File Reom." That was not done when the records were provided. Instead there is a removal slip, "Permanent Charge Out," with what has never been provided printed on in advance, "See File 66-25649 7530 for authority." The subject is given as "JUNE MAIL," with Adsassination of President Kennedy" written in. Nothing else was provided them, and the worksheet indicates that the entire record is of but one page, provided, without claim to exemption.

With a worksheet dated 2/27/80 I received two additional pages, the worksheet indimenting there was another page. The description now provided is NY TEL to DIR. Claims to exemption are bi and 57C only. Neither is posted on either of the two pages provided.

If both are claimed for the page admittedly withheld, then the worksheet does not include what is claimed for withhelding from what is provided Palatedly.

The first of the two pages is a Domestic Intelligence Division Information Note form, the upper third of the note on which is entirely obliterated, the claim being 75. What is not obliterated pertains to Ramparts magazine and Bishop Pike. Content of the attached is not indicated and the attached is not provided. A note added by Supervisor Long refers to an attached clipping. Carefully marked for indexing is a copy of a Washington Post (AP) story reporting that Ed Keating, publisher of the defunct Ramparts magazine, and Bishop Pike called on the President to disclose withheld information pertaining to the investigation of the assassination of President Kennedy. Long's added note reads, "File clipping with the attached teletype."

25

Do you suppose that a clipping, of a syndicated newspaper story, had to be removed from the FHI's assassination file for "safekeeping?" Or a typically distorted "informative note," informative being Orwellian usage? Was the KGB runmaging through the FHI's files? If so, what damage would have been done by its finding the Post clipping, which its government had already gotten by the AP wire? Or by its getting the FHI's perspective on Keating and Bishop Pike, which were known in any event?

Even the New York teletype - did it have to be removed from the regular files, to which even you do not have access any more than PSI SAs in FOIA work do, for "safe-keeping?"

The give-away is in the belated 7E claim. Originally that paragraph was marked U, for unclassified. Them it was obliterated and 7E was added on the opposite side.

The 7E claim, by/the Department's own interpretation in C.A. 75-1996, can be made only for secret intelligence methods or techniques. Reading the Washington Post is not all that secret, is it?

Now it happens that I have a fairly clear recollection of what Ramparts was up to at the time in question, and it received considerable public attention. Unable to accomplish anything on its own in investigations of the JFK assassination, it fixed upon the little-known Penn Jones, who had a weekly newspaper in Texas with a circulation of about a thousand copies. Jones had reprinted a series of pretty wild editorials on the assassination as a book, "Forgive My Grief." Without acknowledging that Joneshad printed the book, Ramparts reprinted portions of it and made the TV nets with its sensations. It is the attention, not the content, that bugged the FRI — into some kind of bugging of its own, for which the TS claim might be made, in continuing efforts to hide FRI mis-

The real/purposes of the initial withholding and phoniness and the subsequent continued phoniness after revision and partial disclosume do not involve any "national security" or any secret method or technique. They merely hide PBI improprieties, an understatement when there is any intrusion into First Ameridment or other Constitutional rights.

And just how subversive is the thing that Ramparts, here supported by Bishop Pike,

were up to when later the FBI and the epartment, thumpingstheir chests all the while, did exactly what Ecating the Bishop asked, as hed many others before them, including me? Well, not quite exactly, as this and thousands of other illustrations show.

But what has been disclosed could have been disclosed in 1966 or earlier - save that it would have led to more doubts about the FRI and Warren Commission solutions to the crime.

These of your staff who believe that those processing the records for disclosure have no interest in unjustified withholdings might went to examine this illustration and the initial denials - of a newspaper olipping and the misinformative not e. I am certain that at the least they will find reasonably segregable information in the teletype - and that no secret mathod is involved and that the claim is made improperly to hide the FRI's domestic spying on its critical

For your information, as part of its strange macho, along with its reprinting of Jones Ramparts carried the most brilliant spook I remember. I was its victim. There was a "review" of the self-published work of one Ulov G.K. LeBoeuf. (You love, God knows, the bull.) The spoof was so brilliant the Boston Globe ran an emstatic account of the writing of the non-existing LeBoeuf.

of those many appeals you have done nothing about I ask you to recall the one pertaining to the effort to do me in on my December 1966 trip to California by an FEI symbolied informant. We was well prepared to provide a garbled and distorted account (FEI Valture) of pay alleged pasts of before he was born. As I have teld you, it had the appealte of the intended effect, for which I have expressed my appreciation to the (silent) FEI.

I had been invited to address what was known as the Citizens' Committee of Inquiry.

When the time came to pay for a hall they were broke, so they asked time Trotakyites to sponsor the meeting. I was no more scared of them than of the Chamber of Commerce and other groups I have addressed. The FEI arranged for the audience to be standing room speaker only. Ed Keating was the speaker who preceeded and introduced me. His attributing my work to Ramparts was so inspirational that although I began so weary from nights without sleep I could not stand for long the adrenalin flowed and under ridicule he finally admitted that Ramparts' spoof victim was me. (The meeting was "covered.")