Br. Quinles V. Shee, Mirector FULA/FA Appeals Department of Justice serbing out 1.0. 2000

Dear Quin.

Yesterday Jim told me about your letter of the Md. It came today. Glad to set it. Thanks.

I've also been thinking of the number of metters, different subjects, that "inde has to contend with. So I think that where I'll be responding to what relates to any one of those I'll do it in a separate sheet of paper. 'e hape this can make things

Especially because of the length I've goes to in an effort to be informative. I have received the first Kennedy release. Because I had always expected to I made provision for it in a special set of file cabinets I obtained for them. Since then I've added more to accomplate the field office files. I'm glad you will be coming up. You will then be able to see that while the encomplations I will be providing for others are limited I have made provision for a place to work and I've put in extra lighting. In time I'll straighten that area out better than it now is.

having made a fairly accurate guess of the space the space the release would require I now have these records in sequential order.

A college student she lives not far sany has set these up. She works on it in spare time. I don't think the has completed the job but it is aloss to finished. She'll probably not have more time until after finals. A nevere case of poison by interrupted her I think just before she finished.

I'm also trying to establish a separate file on each request. My wife has broken these down as beet she can. I've arranged file space for them but I've not been able to going over what my wife has done and setting up a separate file. Temperarily these recordant are in a box. Once this is completed if binds has questions I'll be able to

It would be good, I believe, for sommene in the Department to be every that I am keeping these records exactly as I received them and to see that I have made what arrangements are possible for the socess of others. In fact I've started a file card record of who has read what so that these people can be consulted by others later.

There have not been many requests. The only one I've turned domn would have required that I ship them to California for copying.

Whatever the FoI tells you about micrefilming, it is being offered for sale. I can provide copies i f I have not.

On the workshoets, there was to have been a status call that was cancelled, I think, herbe the judge recused himself. Whichever, that given more time. I'll not prese on this. Please bear in similar that my request was not limited to the sornabects.

On the pre-assassination Oswald file, if these records were included in the release I'd appreciate being given citations. I'll give Links more information separately.

You have been assured that I teither have gotten or will soon got everything on the Ling and Assuredy assasseing tions that ever has been released to myome." Fine. I received five photographs today. EcCreight's letter and my response will be obclosed.

but in C.A.75-1996 there are some items that Go not relate to the assausination investigation. I also clarified one Item where I had not been able to specify the FBI's cryptonym. Although I was told I would not have to do this in writing I did put it in writing and I've received nothing. This was for political stuff. I did specify that I was not intersected in the personal stuff on Ring, what Judge Swith later had put aside for 75 years in the Archives. I do have records reflecting compliance with the similar requests of others. I'm pretty sure the FII totally ignored my subsequent

There is the separate question of unjustifiable withholdings and still another about ignored referrals. I can't tell you how many attachments have not been provided where they are referred to.

What I believe will yet be a major problem will be the files the FBI refused to search. They lied straight out in saying there were now other files. I now have proof of their existence. One of these was Hoover's personal files. Not destroyed, either.

I don't know how far I can go on this without crossing the line. I don't want to do

that. Jim is now too tied up to do anything or for me to ask him.

Ferhaps I can tell you enough in generalities. There has been pervasive and persisting dishonesty in this case, from the first and not maked ended. The judge has been imposed upon regularly, and not by us.

I don't know if there is anyone in the office of the DAG who is interested in this, what it represents and what it can get mean but if there is I'm willing to help.

Six months ago I did not expect what has happened so I did not establish a separate file. I have begun to do that. There is a status call on the 17th. I do not know how much I can preare by them but if I am called to testify I have already segregated nore than enough from which to testify.

If Jim were not as thed up as he is end for a while will be I believe he would

be preparing something for the 17th.

In that case except for one newspaper story from which the name of an FBI agent had been removed 10 times I can't think of a single reports in which there had been unjustifiable withholding that has been replaced. I can't think of an FBI record in this case that I've received in these six months. Not even those called for by stipulation that were not provided within the stipulated time.

I'd such prefer not to have to do what is going to be required of me.

Post segarde,

Herold Voisborg