Doyle Matein

To Quin Shee from Harold Weisberg JFK assassination records appeals 6/11/79
My 1968 requests for copies of photographs
Toyle-Martin files of Oswald's arrest in New Orleans

I have written you such about these satters. By appeals of the denial of the 1/1/68 requests, which began shortly after the request was ignored, are without any response despite their relevance in ongoing litigation.

In today's mail I have a letter from another in which there is this sentence:

"...I've secured a 16 mm print of the FEI's print of fom Alyea's footage, there
(sic) are also going to give me a print of the Sughes and Doyle films also."

You told me that I would receive copies of all disclosed by the FBI. Here I/ learn of its desclosure to others of what it has denied me since 1/1/68 or for more than II years in also learning again that they have not kept their word to you and your word to me.

The FHI kept secret from the Warren Commission the fact that it had theDoyle film.

(Naturally - it was only a Presidential consission and what is a Presidential commission to the FHI?) I knew it had this film and I've told you how I knew. So I can't get even a response from the FHI or from you. (Naturally - there is a law that requires it but what does any law mean to the FHI?) Instead there are saide cracks as part of legal documents filed by Department counsel about the dependability of my epinion that a record "must" exist.

What, really, does appeal mean, or litigation, when this is more than 11 years old and all I've gotten from the FBI, under another request, not this one, is false and misleading records - and nothing at all under this request and its appeal.

The Alves file, by the way, also was not given to the Warren Commission. After all, why should the FBI have done that? It had ignored this film for as long as it dared because it only shows the crime-scene search, and the FBI learned long ago that it can manipulate words much more easily that it can manipulate pictures. So in not giving the commission the pictures it did not have to explain away any disproofs the pictures held of the