Divisional Fills JFK

62-109060

JFK and King assassination records appeals Files not searched - Divisional files Harold Weisberg 10/22/79

My appeals from the demicls of searches of Divisional files, repeated after the belated finding ofk what allegedly remained of the long tickler, have not been acted on. Thereafter I sent you a copy of an FEI record indicating that the Divisions, contrary to the representations of the FEI, have their own files and filing personnel. I now cite proof that the Lab also kept its own records, in addition to what is filed elsewhere.

A Not Recorded/Serial, which appears to be in the 105-82555 file as Serial 4320, is a June 25, 1964 letter, Director of Rankin.

In the note added on page 2 it states that "A Kerox copy of the transcript of the deposition of Sa Cadigan has been made and is retained in the Laboratory file in this matter."

Clearly this is retained autaide of Central Records.

In recent deposition testimony we were not able to obtains unequivocal and responsive answers to whether or not the Lab had its own, separate files. This was in the King case, When my counsel creased for an unequivocal response Department counsel claimed that the SA was being "badgured." I believe that the record I cite above explains this and its purposes: the Lab files were not searched in response to my request or my appeal in the King case as they were not in the JFK case.

by purpose in informing you is not to argue but because the judge involved you in the King case in an effort to get it cleaned up. Since then you have done virtually nothing of which I am aware. Once I provided you with proof of the existence of files not accorded, particularly with the large number of King records allegedly missing, I believe a Divisional search was required. A search of the Lab is particularly important, especially in the King case, where a number of Items relate to the Lab's work. And, of course, the Divisional ticklers are in the Divisiona, not Central Records. You have not acted on my appeal from the debial of Divisional ticklers, either.