Mr. Quin Shea, Director POIPA Appeals Department of Justice Washington, D.G. 20535

Dear Nr. Shea,

'n accord with the futility you created to reduce the work of your office, I enclose herewith my today's appeal to the FMI, a copy of the letter to me with which the FMI sent some records pertiment in C.A. 78-0322 (JFK field offices), and selections of those records as used in the appeal.

de you will see, the FMI has gone so far out of its way not to identify enything in its letter it fails to record sending of four films - why I don't know. But I have enough faith in the FMI and its consistency for to accuse it of good intentions.

When I did not receive records in accord with the agreement proposed by the FMI through its counsel and accepted by the Court I asked my counsel to inquire. Mr. Metenlife informed him that the FMI first had forgetten to propare a covering letter and then had erred in it. I presume that what I finally received is not the one the FMI did not intend to send. It thus follows that the defects in the FMI's letter are deliberate, for purposes the FMI has in mind. It mertainly is not an informative letter, as it/hills to be an adequate covering/letter.

The FSI does not state that those are all the declassified records referred to in Mr. Shanefield's latter of last December and the number of pages of declassified records is not identical with those stated in that letter, when it required almost four months for those already processed records to be sent, expecting the FSI to create a straight and homest record apparently is expecting too much of it.

The FHI did not identify those records that had been withheld in fall and those withheld in part. It also knows that making this kind of check is beyond my present capabilities. So, except where it appears to MHE be apparent that there had been partial prior release, I as assuming that there was no prior release.

Despite/the meaninglessness, deceptiveness and instantacy of the Fal's letter, it and the enclosed records are proof that the Fal parsuaded its counsel to lis to the Court. I put it this way because I fielther believe mir suggest that hr. Metcalfe lied deliberately to the Court or to my counsel. But lie the Fal did in representing that it required time to process these records. They were processed before the Shanefield letter was sent and then they were deliberately withheld to waste time and as much more of what remains of my life and work that the Fall couldownste, and to delay the end of this case and further inflate FOLA costs.

The FEI further micropresented in claiming that its people required time to learn what is public domain. (As of 1976 it had already gone over its JFK assessmation records at least three times according to its testimony in C.A. 75-1996 and, obviously, it had

4/4/81

gone over its JFK assassination records prior to its general releases of 1977 and 1978. To the best of my recollection, there is nothing that had been withheld in these records that had not already been disclosed by the JFM itself. It did withheld what it had placed in the public downin by calling it classified information.

I recall nothing of what had been withheld as classified and now is disclosed that is not included in my appeals of years ago. As you should recall, I have manarous attachments of FMI records to reflect this fact.

It thus is apparent that the FMI also hied in claiming that it required time for i to people to become familiar with the subject matter. While just about all, if not all of what had been witcheld in these records was disclosed in Warren Commission records (as well as much of what remains withheld), familiarity with the Commission's disclosed records was not required because it was all within what the FMI had already disclosed. (Mf letter to the FMI also cites continued "mational scourity" claim for the public domain and for what is identified as public domain in my extensive and domain to appeals.)

It is not only the FMI that has not responded to the letters you told us to direct to it. You have failed to respond to ay asking you if the FMI's claim that it "coordinated" with your effice and thus had its approval for "the processing of this material." If your office did not approve, then the FMI is engeging in another deliberate deception and representation. If your office did approve, then it approved the withhelding of the public domain as "national security" information and it ignored all those documented appeals I provided. I believe I an entitled to have an answer and to be able to provide it to the Court.

In the part I have asked you to call information I provided to you to the attention of first the "oputy and then the Associate Atterney General. I have no evideence that you have done this. What I now complete about is more and source then official lying. "t is serious misconduct and despetion and misrepresentation to a Court of Law. Whether or not all of you in the Department live in terror of the FMI, which could account for its years of lawless conduct, and whether or not the Associate still fears it. I believe that you have responsibilities in this matter and that it should be called to the Associate's attention. Not for the first time, the FMI deceived and misled that office, too.

In the past overpseelous and under-principled "spartment connel have nought to make light of my allegation that the FNI seeks to hide its can recorded in times abuses and to discourage any inquiry into its record. On this one of the attached records is particularly in point. When, at the time of the assessmination, an SAC asked and assistant director what was important in the investigation, the most complement estimation of what was important is any investigation of the orige itself, what was important was propaganda to make it appear that Hoover's instant vision was correct. There is no and to the reasonable motives that can be attributed to all of this misconduct, the bios, the phoney national security claims and the perpetual stonewalling. For example, you will find in these records proof that the FEI is well aware of who the critics ar c. that it has files on them and on the criticism, that it assigned its informers to cover their meetings, and that it has no problems in retrieving this kind of information, which is within my requests. The FEI phonies up all kinds of excuses not to comply because compliance will emburrants it. I have provided toù with abundant proofs, as with the proofs that it undertook to try to ruin me and my books - and that from its providing others with "public domain" information for these provided to the equally counterproductive efforts or its informer, it failed. What the FEI did with all of us is at least improper. In these records yoù wilk find some files numbers, particularly an 80 file on Jim Carrison. I do not recall whether this is the same one I called to your attention years ago. It is clearly pertinent and it remains unsearched - after all these yoars since I informed you of it.

Aside from all ot her considerations, this is indecent behavior of which I complain. I as the in a public work from which no personal profit is possible. It importance has been recognized by the ^Department, the Congress, the courts, many scholars and others. I will reach my 66th birthday Wednesday. My health has failed to the point where I can't stand still, can't walk much and an't walk at all without pain and limping. If you, Netcalfe and others in the Department want to XXX add to the FBI's abuses, ghere is nothing I can do about that. Except, of course, to see that you are sittlingend not innocent.

Sincerely,

Harold Meisberg