

Dear Jim,

re CA 78-0322

3/4/82

Enclosed is the FBI's covering letter for the few records I received yesterday. Bud and Paul may be interested in copies of the selections I herewith provide. If so, I'd appreciate copies being ~~sent~~^{given} to them. I don't know if Mark will be interested.

Ruby's tax returns are disclosed after referral to IRS. Claims to be 3 and ~~7C~~ 7C are dropped. I don't see how after this, under the law, Oswald's can be withheld. File DL 44-1639-1A, Serials 102, 109.

DL 67-425, Hosty records. (FBIHQ different number. I have notes on 67-798, with what appear to be serials 3048 for Hosty personnel stuff and 3050 for the Gale memo on disciplining him.) What is now provided that was classified never qualified for any level of classification after appearance of the Warren report, which holds this information. Earlier the FBI leaked this information. In addition, CIA ~~discussed~~ authorized disclosure ~~but~~ the records were delayed until now.

12/81

DL 100-10461-1B15, Part 2, "Photos of Evidence." I was given unclear xeroxes, of which I send copies because Bud may be interested in some and because there was a separate agreement to provide me with photographic prints of all photographs. This is recorded in a letter to me from Shea. I have written the FBI about this several times, without response, even acknowledgement of receipt of my letters. And while this disclosure is pursuant to belated appeals action, that was at an unscheduled ~~date~~ date in January but the records were held up for a month, again to delay receipt of them until the calendar call was near, a usual FBI dirty trick.

Several records, not enclosed, from 89-43, disclosed after appeals action of 11/81 and withheld until now.

Separately, 89-43-1A354, originally classified secret is what a reporter for the National Enquirer told the FBI, dated 4/13/77, pertaining to Souetre. How this got classified I don't know but the CIA authorized declassification 11/19/80 and it was withheld from me thereafter for more than a year. The FBI's action was on 12/29/81, more than a year later, and then further withholding until the even of the calendar call.

Still another batch from 89-43, pertaining to the acoustical tests, , to be disclosed following appeal, on 11/18/81, withheld until now. While these appear to be duplicates, I enclose them anyway on the chance that Paul and/or Blakey may be interested.

When there are these long delays after disclosure is authorized the FBI is hardly proceeding in the manner its counsel has been assuring the judge for so many months. It continues to stall.

best,