Stomegyet JFR applel - critics

Privact Act request appeal

Harold Weisberg 8/26/79

The FBI suing as for libel to "step" news and my writing - records not provided JFX assassingtion records appeals

Attached are 62-105059-4473 and 4474. (The 109090 file indicated when the first record was typed is one of at least two other files in which there are Not Recorded copics.) While I en cortain that I have appealed regarding satters mused in these records it has been so year long ago my recollection of the points I reised is no lenger clear, so when I came approves these Serials while reviewing records for another purpose I decided to send these to you as a restador and to be sure I had not forgotten what struck me on rereading.

I as protty certain that I informed you of giving now retired Shaneyfelt a written waiver of the statute of limitations when I first learned of this scheme to "stop" me. He has not responded in any way.

Quite some time after my cardiar appeals I had a letter from a retired PHISA in which he expressed the belief that at about the time of the Fal's JFE essessingtion investigation what I believe he referred to as "the palace guard" pretty such controlled Hoover and particularly what he know.

I find myself wondering whether this is such an FET "pulses guard" adventure because at the beginning of 1967, the time of these records, there was considerable criticien of the FET's investigation. So such of it cans face what I first brought to light that the Attorney General convoked a panel of forenaic experts to examine some of the evidence so severally criticized.

The reason this occured to me is because "Mhaneffolt criginated the idea of saing me only when the legal research concluded that I could be such and the decision was left to Shaneyfalt he chickened out. If he was not going to do it if he found out that he could no (other) purpose appears to be served by coming up with theachane.

It appears to be quite unlikely that the FBI was willing to proceed with a libel suit in which fectual error of this nature is attributed to my second book morely on Sheneyfelt's word that it is not accurate. Therefore there should be some records in support of these (his) allogations, something that satisfied the Legal Research Deak.

If Shemoyfelt, meaning the VHI, had filed such a suit only to withdraw it or if the case had gone to trial and on the basis of fact Shrmoyfelt/the FNI did not win the result would have been an FNI disaster of unimagingable magnitude.

It is not reasonable to believe that if the Fill was giving serious consideration to any suit before researching the law questions/of fact were not resolved. No records of this nature have been provided. From this I believe it follows that the whole thing was beally a plot against Hoover in which there was no serious intent to file a suit or that other records orist and are ultibuld. The internal content of these records indicates that my book was studied but no records of that, no notes, even epinions of the Fill's reviewer(s) are pervided in either the JFX association records, where they do belong, or in response to sy request, to which they are relevant.

The proposal was routed to a number of high FDI efficials (siz "palace guard") and it reached even higher, from the initials added, # yet there is no record provided in skich any of them makes any communit of the place to unprotectanted and the potentially disastences proposal.

Logal Research concluded there was a cause of action and even encouraged it by stating that "The danger succes considerably grunter if he is not stopped now." It also raised the spectre of adverse influence on Shancyfelt's career as a professional witness if "he book no action in this pass."

There are not nearly as many initials when ShaneyTelt chickmod. (4474)

In checking some Consission file records, 62-109090, I came accreat this Not Recorded Serial of 3/1/63 for the Director, I have recollection of the Extension of Remarks in the Congressional record by the Universitian Willis because Carlos Dringular, an FAI source from the most extreme of the <sup>C</sup>uban-American right, picketed a gathering of the like-minded as an excuse for distributing cepter he apparently obtained from Willie. I use in New Orleans at that time.

While the legend at the bottom of this sheet refers to isolution of it in subjectnatter files no filing under the assassination caption or number is indicated. It is indicated that the original is in a 65 file. I cannot relate that file numer, as best it can be read, with as or any of the others about when Mr. Willis' consents were regarded as important enough to warrant the Director's percenal attention. With Lone then a current security case this does appear to be abnormal.

Just what did warrant the attention of the Director's personal staff animalistician gain fiber and the attention of the Director's personal staff animalistician in another record I chanced upon at the same time, 62-109000- 607, attached, along with 608. Even Deleech is among the mix to whom copies were sent, along with "cover memo" not attached. (Deleas it is the James were of 7-5-67.)

This young college statust was indexel.

But these mened by Williams were not? The record provided includes no indexing indications.