

JFK WIT called - sleep JK see tomorrow 10/13/7

- 1317
- JFK assassination records appeals
  - Dallas records
  - Murina Oswald appeals and the FBI's blackmailing of her
  - Cover-the Bureau false paper
  - Fooling the Director
  - False and misleading FBI affidavits

Even the representation of Murina Oswald's Warren Commission testimony in attached 62-109000-598 is less than faithful, which is necessary to the FBI's generation of false paper to cover itself in its Orwellian efforts.

So you can understand, first, this begins with Dallas records not provided, the actual records, of whatever and any form, of the FBI's interrogations of Murina. You should also understand that although she was a witness to nothing relating to the assassination she was converted into the main witness against her husband, under such conditions that internal Commission records indicate that a study was made of the legality and propriety.

For this to be accomplished the FBI had to blackmail her- and without any doubt at all it did, contrary to this particular record. She was told, according to other FBI records, what she did testify to, that if she wanted to remain in the U.S. she would have to "cooperate," which in plainer English meant say what was desired. To pull this off the FBI made arrangements for a particular New York IRS official to be in on it and apply the first pressure. (Note <sup>his</sup> arrival to New York for no stated reason on page 3.) It then arranged to have no witnesses by having her Secret Service guards removed. And then, in the FBI's own version in another record, it laid it out straight. She capitulated and said whatever was asked of her, explaining this later to the Commission by saying that in her earlier statements she had lied.

The affidavits referred to were executed and they do not tell the whole truth.

Here there is character assassination to cover the Bureau, again with less than full fidelity. The FBI knew, for example, that the Walker story came from her than agent, as did other dubious statements that appeared to him to be merchantable. (page 3)

All the withheld information, which always was historically important, became more important because such misleading records were generated by the FBI to cover itself.

For attachments see: JPK Appeals Dallas Field Office  
FILED

REVIEW granted below

also as above notwithstanding the

above case called

and to applicants a D.R. and has always been called

recess case not even

therefore it is called

as follows D.R. applicants have always

been called without making any other name to distinguisher and now

to distinguish a D.R. and or themselves at home, initial name and or D.C.-D.C.U.-S.

,etc the name and or most two or three cases

and ,including the above called with regard to ,still ,however one day of

not .name" to distinguish a D.R. and to just you have referred to ,already issued

and or applicants called or another a new one possible fact however can become

recess ,however and perhaps another name will exist between the new one distinguisher

and to them now what a fact another above nothing last but fact another case

,however has always

said you further has -red handles and had D.R. and handles and of which will

name or handles ,list now will ,however distinguishing and of yourself ,but it is to

below and D.R. and or another of below and it said ,or Client will also said ,however will

said list of ,however say fact you know nothing nothing at said " ,otherwise" of even

you # no at of Labette SMU will withdraw; a not <sup>not</sup> distinguisher when D.R. and the

# ( ,E says no reason behind on not stock well of handle still ),however said list of your

,and but ,however always enough people and names of something on end of handles said

handles and .handles two to three to ,however nothing at another two a D.R. and all

names of distinguisher and of total what applicants ,and to begin now distinguisher have been

.well said the distinguisher handles and all said

,said each and list you as you know between one of handles a distinguisher and

and said other things enough said worse of no distinguisher withdrawed at least said

,those said and work none you called out fact ,elements not , and D.R. and .y. lab. 2 and

( ,E says ) .distinguishers as of all of handles and applicants withdrawed with all as

one as said distinguisher to distinguisher one again said ,however withdrawed withdrawed

,handles before at all and of handles with whom withdrawed withdrawed date named throughout