In attached XM 62-109090 (it says 162) Not Recorded of 2/24/64, Baumgardner to Sullivan, all three paragraphs of the text are totally withheld by 2040.

There is little doubt that some is reasonably segregable - if there is legitimate basis for withholding any of it. The CP did testify before the Commission and the
fact and details of the FBI's surveillances are within the public domain.

As it stands the fact that the Commission wanted to hear from the CP relating to any Oswald association with it is what the eminent Director describes as "This is shameful."

All of the text of the attached NY teletype of 11/27/63, Not Recorded in 62-109090, is withheld by 2040 under b1 claim, without determination of whether anything was reasonably segregable, and without determining if any part if not all the content was within the public domain.

This is also true of the withholdings in the attached Not Redorded memo of 11/23/63 from the same file, particularly the last two, the caption of which identifies the content as disclosed by both the Commission and the FBI.

In 62-109060-1617 2040 withheld all of the recommendation and the addendum. I believe that at least some should be reasonably degregable, if any is properly withheld. The question is whether the faker of phoney evidence relating to the assassination of a President, a man whose effort to obtain money by false pretense could have led to war, should be paid the money for which he asked. I believe that this is not properly classified, if anything that can protect one who fabricates under such conditions can be, and that the decision itself is of historical importance. (All the many fabrications and what the FBI did and did not do about them are important.)

While without knowing the content of what 2040 withheld in attached Natzhezarian is of 11/22/63 FRENXEX one can't be certain I believe that all of the content within the public domain and was probably disclosed by the FRI itself in other records. The FBI got from the CIA its tape of an Oswald phone conversation and pictures allegedly but actually not) of Oswald. They were flown to Dallas by then SA Eldon Rudd and this is the subject of appeals on which you have not acted.