

Civil

To Give them from Harold Weinberg 6/23/60
JFK assassination records requests
PA records requests
Continued Department (and FBI) non-compliance
Original Division, File 139-41, through Section 20K

When we can make the copies I will attach my 6/27 letter to Mr. Buckley. As in the past, I intend this as my appeal.

This most recent batch of Criminal Division records, which represents limited compliance after a delay of more than three years, holds copies of records that are pertinent in my PA requests of the FBI and all other Department components as well as references to others not yet provided. I attach copies of pages I believe you should read and to which I refer in the letter to Mr. Buckley.

No copy of my response is provided and I recall none. I did write the Attorney General. A draft of a response is included. It was not sent to me. Its receipt including why it was not sent is included, none is there my own notation. I call to your attention that this is an OLC record and that OLC did not provide it and such also in response to my requests. I believe I appealed this some time ago. How you have now proof.

If these records had not been withheld - and they were not provided under discovery - the history of O.L.C. 75-286 might have been different. These records, including the draft, clearly prepared after the FBI was consulted, confirm the existence of the information I requested and for which I filed suit, but a different explanation is offered for non-compliance and for keeping it secret. This is contrary to the FBI's and Department's representations to the Court.

My appeals pertaining to my 1973, 1976 and 1977 requests were timely. If you had acted on them and had not been deceived in acting on them this might not have happened. Much Federal money might have been saved instead of wasted, much time required of Government lawyers would not have been required and would have been available for other duties, and, of course, the litigation would have been avoided. One possible explanation is that

it was decided that the cost is justified as part of the FBI's explicit and stated intent to "stop" me and my writing. Another that suggests itself is "Total Justice."

The records I've just received and read reflect the need for records I do not recall receiving in C.A. 75-0322 to exist. They include the numbers of files from which I do not recall receiving my records. They indicate clearly that other files have not been searched and are pertinent. They refer to records not provided. An explanation of not providing to me other and referred-to records has been made.

They refer to Carleton records not provided. There are many other areas of withholding but I think it is obvious that Carleton records are within C.A. 75-0322 and those appeals. If these missing records are not in the New Orleans files searched, how can your action on appeal have any meaning? Have you any way of knowing where outside the four files of its own collection the FBI has anything filed - if not hidden?

Of those in the area I know Carleton had interest and whose names appear to be withheld in these Criminal Division sections I remember, all with regard to information I do not recall seeing in New Orleans records provided to me, Carleton Board, Clay Shaw, Donn Adams Andrews, Edward Gene Magall, "D. Carl Smith," and other aliases include Jim Hone, and a man named Hatch. All these are public, but all the pertinent FBI records are not disclosed.

This one batch of records shows that other companies with records not provided are not those part of (indicated), Civil, CSD, CIA and DUSA, to which there are no references. Doesn't your computer ~~show~~ make an instant search, an instant effort to copy?