Paul, Mary, Gary, Howard, Dick,

Kleindienst on 11/4 rejected my request for the Caire interviews and the identification of the fingerprint on the Osweld "Wesp" handbill, giving as his reason both are "part of investigatory files compiled for law enforcement purposes."

I have by leaster today appeal to Mitchell under 5 U.S.C. 552.

In both cases, the definition is inapplicable, even if the DEI earlier had and identified this fingerprint, before doing that work for the WC.

The Archives denied having any FBI reports on Caire. Justice does not deny this or my quotation of Caire saying he had been questioned for about 100 hours (0 in NO). The acknowledge that such reports exist by the imagings of Kleindienst's reply (which I'll copy if I can on the Thermofax). They also acknowledge, inherently, that they have identified this print. I sak PH to take particular note of this. It would have been simple to say no cannot comply with the request because no such information exists, and I'd herdly be in a position to question him develope, the inherent seknowledgement of identification can lead to conjectures about whose it was and this as the real resson for withhelding it. I can conjecture a couple of conjectures of my own.

What I must now decide is whether to include this in the Ferrie complaint.

I believe, with what is already in it, that is where it belongs. I will be seeing

Jim, perhaps Bud, tomorrow, and will discuss this with him(them).

Frenkly, I cannot, reasonably, conjecture what might be in the Caire reports that they might want suppressed (saids from having denied them to the 16). From what he has said, assuming he has spoken truthfully, unless they went into other things, like Arcacha (which they should have, given LEO's use of the 544 add.) I see nothing that need be hidden.

Conjectures solicited.

Sincerely.

Harold Weisberg