re 2/4/81 draft of CRD FOIA: 2/6/81

8. Ought not ask for all copies of so many redords we have. Instead, provide an alternative, like a list of all provided anccopies of any pages on which there is any kind of notation and add all mm memos of any kind pertaining to these FBI records.

9. same as above.

10, 11, 14 - we'll get some flak, but we can face that when we must. Some may be immune, some isngt but they'll claim it is, and they'll refer to FBI. Some of these foreign police materials were for use in publica, at trial, and they lie about it.

13. We probably have this. I think we do. So we should rephrase it to require that it be proce sed in accord withthe AG's policy statement, the standards of historical case and with regard to abandoning b1 and 7C and D claims for the public domain. I long ago filed appeals, which remain ignored. I'll be writing Shea about it soon re AG and DAG records copy.

15. Elimiate the dates because there were earlier internal investigations, according to the Crewdson series, and there undoubtedly was correspondence after the later date, if aot also further checking, etc.

19 insert or its investigations. Instead of following persons why not associates of Dr. King, including but not limited to, etc.

The general comment at the end is limoted to what they'll search. I think it should hr explicit that we are not asking for a search limited to MURKIN only. I think they'll take that line, so lets eliminate it at the outset. We also should include, perhapwsh have a20 on, Pocam, Poor People's March, March on Washington, Sprong Project, however they have such records filed.

Don't we want to include at some point records pertaining to Stephens, McCraw, McCullough, etc?

You haven't included theother writers items. There are three in all and should be included because they did give info to others and they have not provided it.