King approl- Varies robbines

King assassination records apppeals
The Rays and bank rebberies

Harold Weisborg 12/8/79

The OFR investigation and report

The licuse assassias investigation and report

PA request

In prior appeals I have claimed that all information relating to the PRI's efforts to pin bank robberies on the Rays (and as a means of explaining James's financing for the year after his NoPen escape and prior to the assessination) and its leaking of this concection to the media ( to explain the PRI's own investigative failures) plus its planting the same notion with the Bouse committee provide relevance for all records allegedly connecting the Rays with any bank robbery the PRI otherwise may have failed to solve. In addition there is relevance to my as yet manet PA request coming from the inclusion of such records, also including me, in what remains of the gutted long tickler.

The 5/11/79 enclasure with your letter of 12/4/79, which is added complaince with my "ohn hay request for which I thank you, adderelevance. This record is the Department's determination that with regard to these robberies "ohn Ray did not commit perjury in denying them before the House consistee.

The very limited and incomplete disclosure in the records provided in C.A. 751996 leaves no real doubt of the relevance of information relating to these robberies.
At least one is in the court record, one in which the names of those actually charged with the Alton robbery were excised. It is my recollection that the judge said the

The (min) use by the House consittee of course adds relevance and removes the possibility of privacy claims. (The names were not secret in any event although the PEI pretended otherwise.) In at lest one case the FEI appears to have suborned perjury. It has not denied any of my sworm allegations in this regard. Protecting the Bureau may be its first law but it is not an exemption written into POIA. Using the House committee for the same purpose also is improper. And in this regard \* call to your attention that the bank robbery records are not among those set aside in the special room for the committee's use. I have the list of those files. I suggest that this

means that the FMI addomplished that by indirection. (a b/e method for which it has not yet asserted a claim that I recall.)

If the rough and unedited draft of the memo I prepared for the HSCA in rebuttal of its alleged evidence, a copy of which I provided to the Department prior to its publication by HSCA, contributed to this wise decision I am gratified. There has been much too much miscarriage of justice and contriving of solutions to musolved crimes without more political fuel being added to such fires.