

*6/16/87 - 10:10 AM
by [unclear] - signed JCN*

Judge John C. Casey

From this batch of documents and examination of the underlying records it appears that in one respects my recollection is flawed and that Ray's robbery conviction was not reversed at about the time he escaped.

Here again, there are improper withholdings in the abstracts in the usual and predicted effort to hide the impropriety of the withholdings in the underlying records. What is withheld also is disclosed, as in 44-3861-1760 (St. Louis 44-775, which also makes for unnecessary confusion. The (b)(5) claim is inappropriate.

SAC. (7)(C) claim only is made for withholdings pertaining to Ray's motion, as in "(challenged) action to set aside this conviction" and pertaining to "Ray would stand good chance of reversing his conviction," which is precluded and followed by obfuscations.

SAC (in). The obfuscation (again (7)(C)) follows "NOTICE OF MOTION BEING MADE
BY JUDGE JOHN C. CASEY." The OPR note for this serial includes, "...advise Judge John C. Casey, Mr. Judge, planned to enter public order for Ray to appear and prosecute his pending motions to vacate his sentence." This content is withheld from the underlying record, which concludes that Ray, "IF ALIVE, MAY REALIZE HE CAN REACH
ARMED ROBBERY RAP, BECAUSE HE HAS GOOD CHANCE OF BEATING THE KING RAP BEFORE A NORTHERN
JURY AND GIVING HIM UP."

SAC. Totalit withholding of content, (b)(5). There is no claim that nothing is reasonably segregable because that form was not used.

The nature of the FBI's withholdings and FOIA practices is indicated by Serial 4003, which was reprocessed. As provided initially this is among the withholdings: "RE JUDGE JOHN C. CASEY. CASEY STILL HAS MOTION TO VACATE SENTENCE PENDING UNTIL ADVICE. NO PUBLICITY SOULD."

What is disclosed indicates that claims to exemption are subterfuges to hide what can be embarrassing and is not within any exemption.