

Mr. Stephen Klitzman, Counsel
Subcommittee on Administrative Practise
U.S. Senate
Washington, D.C.

7627 Old Receiver Road
Frederick, Md. 21701
6/10/80

Dear Mr. Klitzman,

If your subcommittee's hearings and Report, and particularly the footnote on page 71 of the Report, have had any effect, it is the redoubling of the non-compliance efforts accurately stated in the footnote. The Civil Division in particular has intensified its efforts aimed at frustrating the Act and, as FBI records I have obtained state as its intent, "stopping" me and my writing.

Some of the dirty tricks it conceived are, I believe, without precedent. It even coerced me, through the judge in C.A. 75-1996, to act as its consultant, promising to pay me, then ignored all my work and refused to pay me anything at all. The judge, who has made a frightful mess out of that case, now refuses to act on my request for payment until the case is over. This is overt blackmail, because there is nothing I can do to end the case except capitulate to non-compliance. It is so bad that as yet the Items of my 1975 requests have not been searched and Civil Division presides over this refusal.

I've caught them providing phoney documents to the Court, using unindicted co-conspirators as affiants, proven their affidavits and other representations to be false, and none of it means anything.

As a minor example I enclose a renewal of appeals of today's date. If I had referred to all pertinent records their extent would be about twice as great. Of course, all are available if anyone is interested in safeguarding the Act.

There is a new development of which I want you to be aware but I ask that you exercise care to avoid retaliation against my source. It has been decided to "do a number" on me. I have no details. I understand that those who plan this are high-level Civil Division and the FBI, higher than the FOIPA Branch. Whether or not connected, this appears to have followed the newest remand in the old spectro case, the one over which the investigatory files exemption was amended in 1974, now C.A. 75-226 in the refiled case.

Sincerely,
Harold Weisberg