Val ansandanskien receris appeals
-incoper mitheldings
(Lincolding) foreign sparetices
(projection) foreign sparetices
(projection) foreign sparetices
(projection) foreign sparetices

Workshippin

Barold Metabore 9/29/79

Attached is page t of a Not Recorded Serial from 62-109090 and the same record from 105-62555, where it is Serial 4631.

The worksheets for the 62-105090 file reflect that the record is of two pages, both withheld in their entirety under claim of bi. In fact this page is from that file and the worksheet is in error.

So also is the classification, which you rebbor-stoaged in an affidavit filed in my C.A. 78-6249 in endersing the proceeding of the monthsheets in that case.

Summination of the record, as disclosed in 4631, sevenis neither need nor basis for classification and withhelding. There is no secret disclosed. We exemptions are claimed in the worksheet covering Serial 4631. Net the alleged reason for the wither holding, disclosed on page 2, is "to protect our operations abroad."

The FIX does this by withholding the date of a newspaperhe publication?

And you subber-charp that as a proper "methodal exemptly" requirement?

Is this to kind of thing the Director had in mind in his recent Congressional testimony in an effort to get FOLA assembled?

I believe that the alleged declassification of Serial 4634 does not conform to the requirements of the E.O.

For additionants dee IF Apricels- (in proper B). Heading.

In the attached appeal I should have noted that all of the text of the communication and of the part of the note that appeared on page 1 is obliterated in the copy from the 62-409030 file.

Also, as is obvious, there is reasonably segregable information even if in some from 2040 imagined there was classifiable content.