Fr. Quin Shea, Director FOIPA Appeals Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20535

Dear Mr. Shea,

In accord with the futility you created to reduce the work of your office, I enclose herewith my today's appeal to the FBI, a copy of the letter to me with which the FBI sent some records pertinent in C.A. 78-0522 (JFK field offices), and selections of those records as used in the appeal.

As you will see, the FMI has gone so far out of its way not to identify anything in its letter it fails to record sending of four files - why I don't know. But I have enough faith in the FMI and its consistency for to accuse it of good intentions.

When I did not receive records in accord with the agreement proposed by the FBI through its counsel and accepted by the Court I asked my counsel to inquire. Mr. Metcalfe informed him that the FBI first had forgetten to prepare a covering letter and then had erred in it. I presume that what I famally received is not the one the FBI did not intend to send. It thus follows that the defects in the FBI's letter are deliberate, for purposes the FBI has in mind. It sertainly is not an informative letter, as it fails to be an adequate covering/letter.

The PBI does not state that these are all the declassified records referred to in Mr. Shenefield's latter of last December and the number of pages of declassified records is not identical with those stated in that letter. When it required almost four months for those already processed records to be sent, expecting the FBI to create a straight and honest record apparently is expecting too much of it.

The FBI did not identify those records that had been withheld in full and those withheld in part. It also knows that making this kind of chuck is beyond my present capabilities. So, except where it appears to been partial prior release, I am assuming that there was no prior release.

Despite the meaninglessness, deceptiveness and inascuracy of the FBI's letter, it and the enclosed records are proof that the FBI persuaded its counsel to lie to the Court. I put it this way because I neither believe nor suggest that Mr. Metcalfe lied deliberately to the Court or to my counsel. But lie the FBI did in representing that it required time to process these records. They were processed before the Shenefield letter was sent and then they were deliberately withheld to waste time and as much more of what remains of my life and work that the FBI couldnesste. And to delay the end of this case and further inflate FOIA costs.

The FET further misrepresented in claiming that its people required time to learn what is public domain. (As of 1976 it had already gone over its JFK assassination records at least three times according to its testimony in C.A. 75-1996 and, obviously, it had

gone over its JFK assassination records prior to its general releases of 1977 and 1978. To the best of my recollection, there is nothing that had been withheld in these records that had not already been disclosed by the FBI itself. It did withheld what it had placed in the public domain by calling it classified information.

I recall nothing of what had been withheld as classified and now is disclosed that is not included in my appeals of years ago. As you should recall, I have numerous attachments of FMI records to reflect this fact.

It thus is apparent that the FBI also lied in claiming that it required time for i to people to become familiar with the subject matter. While just about all, if not all of what had been withheld in these records was disclosed in Warren Commission records (as well as much of what remains withheld), familiarity with the Commission's disclosed records was not required because it was all within what the FBI had already disclosed. (NY letter to the FBI also cites continued "national security" claim for the public domain and for what is identified as public domain in my extensive and documented appeals.)

It is not only the FRI that has not responded to the letters you told me to direct to it. You have failed to respond to my asking you if the FBI's claim that it "coordinated" with your office and thus had its approval for "the processing of this material." If your office did not approve, then the FBI is engaging in another deliberate deception and misrepresentation. If your office did approve, then it approved the withholding of the public domain as "national security" information and it ignored all those documented appeals I provided. I believe I am entitled to have an answer and to be able to provide it to the Court.

In the past I have asked you to call information I provided to you to the attention of first the Deputy and then the Associate Attenney Ceneral. I have no evidence that you have done this. What I now complain about is more and worse then official lying, It is serious misconduct and despetion and misrepresentation to a Court of law. Whether or not all of you in the Department live in terror of the FMI, which could account for its years of lawless conduct, and whether or not the Associate still fears it. I believe that you have responsibilities in this matter and that it should be called to the Associate's attention. Not for the first time, the FMI deceived and misled that office, too.

In the past overgocalous and under-principled epartment counsel have sought to make light of my allegation that the FEI seeks to hide its own records in these abuses and to discourage any inquiry into its record. On this one of the attached records is particularly in point. When, at the time of the assassination, an SAC asked and assistant director what was important in the investigation, the most conspicuous emission of what was important is any investigation of the crime itself. What was important was propaganda to make it appear that Hoover's instant vision was correct.

There is no end to the reasonable motives that can be attributed to all of this misconduct, the lies, the phoney national security claims and the perpetual stonewalling. For example, you will find in these records proof that the FM is well aware of who the critics are, that it has files on them and on the criticism, that it assigned its informers to cover their meetings, and that it has no problems in retrieving this kind of information, which is within my requests. The FMI phonies up all kinds of excuses not to comply because compliance will embarrass it. I have provided you with abundant proofs, as with the proofs that it undertook to try to ruin me and my books - and that from its providing others with "public domain" information for these provides to the equally counterproductive efforts or its informer, it failed. What the FMI did with all of us is at least improper. In these records you will find some files numbers, perticularly an 80 file on Jim Garrison. I do not recall whether this is the same one I called to your attention years ago. It is clearly pertinent and it remains unsearched - after all those years aince I informed you of it.

Aside from all of her considerations, this is indecent behavior of which I complain. I am applied in a public work from which no personal profit is possible. It importance has been recognised by the "operament, the Congress, the courts, many scholars and others. I will reach my 63th birthday Wednesday. My health hasfailed to the point where I can't stand still, can't walk much and an't walk at all without pain and limping. If you, hetcalfe and others in the Department want to Mark add to the FRI's abuses, share is nothing I can do about that. Except, of course, to see that you are wittingfud not incocent.

Sincerely.

iderold Weisberg