

JFK appeals

JL-

7/8/80

I've about finished going over the copies of discovery records I selected and making note on them.

It is striking that in his representations to higher authority Mathews and possibly Blake avoid mention of the fact that the lost list of ignored requests we went into in the 1976 evidentiary hearing were almost all on JFK. There is no mention of JFK at all - not once. Where we submitted letters, he refers to two on King only and implies the other also are on King. Where there was live testimony, that also is avoided and the suggestion that it all pertained to King requests, including even what it is alleged the judge asked for, is all there is. This does suggest the deliberate misleading of higher authority and the creating of false records for later misuse. Maybe it is to cover witting higher authority. I don't know. But the fact is so conspicuous I call it to your attention.

Where notes were masked I missed them. Have you made that request yet? I hope I can recall which ones are significant if and when we get replacements. By missed I mean I could have used them in making the notes.

There may be usefulness in their interpretations of the Stipulation and the purposes of the consultancy.

Best,