4/20/90 To Guin Shou from Herold Weisborg JPK assessination recercs appeals Field office and NO records Referrals

Withholding of the public domain - what the Warren Corrigaion published Peilure to specify compliant oldered - mally refused to specify Wathbelding of referred records for the years

Under date of 4/18/30 I received from the FM 2511 pages of referred records pertaining to the investigation of the assessination of President Kennedy. I have begun to exercise them but have ledd tide andde because the records regular reprocessing because in the processing the FMI has again indulged in Cointelproing, its FOIA distr trions.

Of course this is not all the referrals. The PAT's letter is careful to cover the Fill on this while also bring careful not to inform me or myone else receives its dowering letter. A glance at the worksheets discloses that the CIA has not moved on the referrals to it. After all, it was only in 1977 that the records were referred. With all the intelligence disasters it has been required to mrrange so that it, like the PM, could attribute failures to the FOIA, it has not had time to process referrals. Moreover, the CLA is stonesalling its own compliance perteining to JFK assessingtion records. "t has yet to comply with 1975 requests for them. (Not its record - I have a 1971 request not complied with.) Of course it was only a Propident who was navesulnated when in theory these agencies were to have prevented it by their superior intelligence operations, that President to boot, and he is dead anyway, isn't he?

If you examine the worksheets you'll find that the dates the referrals were acted on by toom who did not on them is stated, Department of Defence, 1-25-70; State, 2-17-78; Secret Service, 4-17-76, etc. Shy the FM stonewalled for two years and care in providing copies of these refer als I do not know unless it was either the time required by preparing and filing dalas, deceptive and misleading affidavite with the courts or the time required for its compalyn against the Act or the time required for its puffery, like having its agents who are empaged in supposedly designment intelligence operations against. suspected political surferers (Assgn 7) appear on 60 Muntes. (Without 70 claim.)

of course it also required acce time to go around brying to simply public officials while making it appear that they were not embrapped. And more time to cut the TV nots in so they could have their cameros in place to file the supposedly secret goings on.

Whatever explains it, these records were referred in 1977, according to the original workshoots and the referral slips with the underlying records.

If you compare the worksheets for thems referrals with the referred records you will find that no claims to emperium are posted on the records themselves but that claims are indicated on the worksheets. This means that nobody outside the FMI knows what claim is reds for what withholding.

In only one case up to Serial 1230 is there a single claim to exception. If only one exception is claimed then it is obvious that the withholdings are stoributed to it.

But take 1254. It was referred to the Secret Service, which element (7)(C) and (9). The FSI added a (9)(1) claim. But there is no vay of knowing what withhelding in atturbanted to any one of the three claims.

Hest of the withholdings have two claims made for them. The PRI knows it in supposed post to past the claim at the point of exception and #4 when it had to in the past it did. Unless it does there is no very of knowing what is claimed, the requester has no rights and the act has no meaning. Acur one affidewise attent to the fact that the FRI has exceed in acro than helf its withholdings.

I don't want to waste time having to reread those records so I will not execute the root until they are reprocessed and I can know what execution is being claimed. It appears that there will be a substantial number of questions because the large number of other claims, like (7)5).

And who knows, maybe by then other agencies, not only the CIA, will have acted as on reformals. Like the archives, which has no backless.

In what I have read it is quite common for the public domain to have been referred, withheld by referral and then withheld by the usual Fil stonewalling of two years.

This includes what the Warren Commission published, referred to State on several occasions.

and these are unclassified records at that. Like an account of Oswald's protended remandation of his citiesenship in the Moscow Embessy, by the one who testified to it before the Commission and whose reports are made available by the Commission. Or like the Empateure Cope business of several reports of the Maximum closing of the border to help the Pat catch the assessie. The border was closed briefly by the Penicamon 11/22/65, not by the U.S. One of these reports is dated 11/25, or three days efter the border was respected, the other the might of 11/22, or hours after it was responsed. Both allego it was closed at the time the report was written.

Commission, like 472. Both did disclose that is mitcheld there, in 1900 yet.

Because of its scriler Cointelproing, that "proviously processed" dodge, the FM has also involved the field office proceeds in this social business.

Need I remind you of what this kind of withhelding means for that rather large project, the case index, the encrease means that an be wested, including by prolonged litteration and onlines reproceedings?

These kinds of dirty tricks in waste respectives, the courts and all others and they are an effective part of a long-orthonding companies against the act and requesters. However, they are indepent and mistorically they will be a salf-indictment by the PMI.

and however alight, there is clarge the chance that access who will be beard may take an interest.