In connection with this and other relevant matters I remind wou that in even fto gutted form and with oatensive withholdings I found in the Long tickler much not th any MURIIN records provided. And I repeatedly asked for Thesepearches

Then there are the iudfces not searched. While I have nophay of knowine contain and am not insisting that all should have been segrohed, those I note bet or appear to me to be indices that should have bean searched, fon good faith ary ofe ditigence, under PA request and the court cases.

ADEX: Maybe I was not on 14 but this should have been sapriched, siven th on me and their meanings, copfes of which I have provided and/or ofted, andth oonneation Wh the King case surveillanoe Item.

Ditto for" Baakground Invastigation Index

## $n \cdot n$

Heference Index: I mect the "hitehly sensitive source" criterif
Hationel securt女y clain in the reoords I have provided establisk
 This ls one of the means by which I could have been made into a banls-robber and certainjy required a chock, applicable olso to the simflat indices.

Fraud Against Government Index: I provided $\frac{w}{2}$ Fecord in whex 1 am
Generaj Secumity Index: I bave been the subject of suah Investigations
Infomant Inden, Security Informant Index and Top Bchelou Informant Progaan
felevant to the Patterson and probably Byers and other appealis perticularls
Patterson matters requíred Top Echelon comittee clearance, \&ypoord inoluded
Mail Cover Indez certainiy an fitem to be aheaked under a survelulance th orfation
zequest because it is a Eomin of survellances (ypu have not reaponded to my 1046 the peaponse to yours givon to the Court that was limited to a oheck of the "extethe etectronto Hoex, which is kown to be Limited and incompleted

National Security Electronic Surveillance Card File is of two parts, Ingtwutione and Requestsit This is limited to appraved survellianoes and then to "national seourity No dates are givem but it followed the beginning of such survelliances by matyoares There are other niat wirl
that ere not in the indices.
Securd.ty of Goverment Employees Index: This should Lnolude me, and your lenowledge, because I was filed this way and provided that tnformatione (T aiso Informed you of treason, espionage and other such inoredible filingse) Survelilance Iocator Index is certainly a necessary place to check to complyth th the survelilance Item and the PA requests.

Telephone Subscriber and Toll Record Check Index: I have reason to belfeve I aif therse che
 also
"Routine uses" of records becjins on page 44693. I quote relevant passages end where I believe nocessary oxplain.
"...would dissemi ate information as a direct result of a name check requestury"
"... public source information is distributed on a continuing basis..." Not to me ?
not even in C.A. 75 -1996 or when I asked for an FBI press release, and $I$ have records of its denia] to others, including unlikealpiements of the medte.
"Poliojes and practises for storing, retrieving....
"...computer tape and microfilm..." I have not received a single such recozd or part of a record and have not been told that any such searohes were made.

IThe IFBI General Index ...cards are on all manners of subject matterswouhis had beon donied ropoatodyy and undur oath. by the PBI including in C.A. 75-1996\%
"All agencios are roquired to retain any material made or received (ond) 1 is appropriate for preservation." How avout the Long and other tiaklers?

Others might feel euphorie over so many redundant proofs of bad faith and assorted. afishonesties, especfally with regard to natters before a court of Iaw, but I co noth I am dismayed over the totality of proofs of bad faith and dirhonesties nore so bocause Ift means that what I lave been providint has been acurate and everyone on yound fle should have known this, particulavly the FBI component whata whose reords pystems fre listed and described and which limen handes FOIA mattersed

You may or way not have come to realize that my worls does not address whodmate anp does address the integrity of our institutions and agencies of govemments particularly during and aftegr periods of great crisis. I/can take no joy from tht nowast FValidation of my worls and its thrust and of the inflexible official determingtion to perpetuate what I regard as endangering sociaty and causing a lack of faith in govermment, especially, amone the young. Only some of those in the collegiate auctenceed I address ane infiuencedphen, after speabing to then honestiy, I tell them that thone is no other country in which I would be able to do what $I$ do - that in even Bnghand and Canda there fon official secrets act.

Rather th this oppressive to me. One particular reason is because I come forosa aly of this for the first time now when ry court casos are alnost decade old and this proves of ilcial. atshonestiea in thembroin the first to nowe Another ffs begaubo you have persisted in ignoring my PA-related appeals, This/ tends to perpetuate the polfco-state
 this be attended to bocause I want to be able to leave a corrooting record, hhath I canot do after death. The first of so maxy appeals is more than three years old the damage to
 deapite all the tine I' ve talken and all the infonation I have provided I heve received nothinge Most recontiy what I beliove ishonjustified effort to cover up for the TBI, which I an oortain that I have sent you roilects. Rogretfully, Harold Welaberg

I would like to be abl: to rewrite this and have my wife retype it but wo fust
do not have the tine. I'm sorry - particularly because I regard these as important
matters. It is unfortunato that I recoived the report and the pages irom the esedexah Register at a time when hy head is lesis clear. I an more unsteady, and there are other. causes for apprehension over my condition. I'm afraid this is reflected in whet thave Written. I apologize for the extra time tt may take to read and understend. thing is unclear, pleasc sond me maxked copies and I'Il undertake to clarify o
tide any explanations you way want.
Having reread this, although there might be more I could adi, I want to ro-emphasiue What I have safd quite often - Let me put this another wey. I believe that in the theht of what this shows thero is no question mamat about the bad faith of the wordiathe masrapresentation to the Count that there could and would be oompzianoe from recotde called Mmurivi" only". Don't take my word for it - just read the Items of my requests?
It, is obvious, es frow the first I informed the Court and Government counsel, thet w
compliance from Mupucir records only is fuipossible. If the deoeption originated wh th the
4BI and if the Department sonehow lacked any lonowlodge of any FBI filing systerds onee I
informed the Court that compliance from KUPKIN was inpossible the Department was aware
of It. Responsibility iss not the FisI's only.
Where are a number of files I identified accuratelt to you from recorde t obtained
 are described more fully in the Fedoran Heasater. I began giving you this infopidion before your testimony there te no doubt of their relevance beocuse, I have ooples of
records from them that are relevant.
Another matter oif which I might have taken more time is filiustrated by
the Byron Watson reforence to CRD. By no means is lnowing mon-compliancep findted to the WBI or to CRD in the Department, which can't even protend to hide bohind whequr In my 1976 appeal I specified that the public donain was being withheld, even with the White House being introlved in the referral to Justice. I also specified thet erompene sonal lonowledge all that was allegedly relevant was total fabmoation. I had done

[^0]that work five yeura ourlier as defonse investigator is a resutt of the impropepent unjustified withholdings by the Department, rather than by the rat this, too bocane an enidmous and costly disinfomational activity for whioh tho mgf can't be blamect

The Departmont, was aware prom my appeals and from my uncontested afe tayh is
From tta original source 1t al, so knew that the matter was whthn tho gublte
domain.
Somewhergn that vast pureaucracy mht ch hais yot to estat thh diplomatio
between its components themenshould be someono with authorfy to and interegt th
roading aome of the afficavita iprovided, in, thts case, partofunty that of stopan

oase Inke thug
Iet to the best of my mooclpeotlon you have never made any referenoc to thy io
my appeala other than those hemtited to FBI reoords\%

nover to end excoptt with non-compliance?
I. Am aldo troubled by what appears to be your taking Dous Mitohe 21 otif op gh nand

King appeals that have not been acted on and, putting him dn 0hichatters agatut onoser on which Itinda Robinson was worlcing two yeare ago and has been worleing recont ify in connection with the requests of others. You told me you hed put another momben on yout ataff on the गTh cases. If I am correct in this, wan yout qooent Letters shoteate that
 aoted on?

Ig this not also true of the overlap between the PA rocuest and, pardiof of $75=$
 and there has been zero aotion on appeal?

I have not provided all. ton relatine to politicel misuse of C.A.
I. have provided, jncluding in a number of affidavits, now, 1 completely conflined

Ho me thile, ha drectly oppositte to the purposer of POLA and whet I am tryphg to do
comes from tts heart and souly.

I have not been able to Liccues this with Mre Lesar, who also has not been woll, from an trifoction onn painful spux on a vert由epra. I believe I have restricted nyself to factual mather than legal metters.

Whate you dat Iot no knot that the tane you had not for wh to confor about a ponth aso had bocone invonventent for yothand you than set enothed tointetare tine you di noth Let mo know that you would not so whead whth $1 t$ then.

Trancly, Z have begun to tonder fony oonramence tis worth the time now becaute





 Hon, once ofuln, it winl clann thet tho requtrec wir roppocepfint wil be purdonsono aticostbty

Thus nevost of the abuses of the Act, me cand enf agreement Lis foituttated by youch
 Whe thomo hproeth.

triod the asethnting of persomel before aty the Opotathon onstiautht acents roturen to
 Whe to the Losury and me won to mominded them

 any agmennont on any effomb to at henst meduce what in 3 utagrted?

What good st the wight to appeal. if aftor yenrs appeats are not acted onf Or Pap

On It an Order of the Court in thautted and thic, boo, is Amored by appeal authordty?

any conference must be understood to bo conditional. In addition, I will want assurances that any future arrocncits will be feet. Moreover, it is past time for each to be accompanied by an aproainute tine schedule.

The oldest one I renomber (aside front the unkept agreement to reprocess gated MURKIN records involved in Operation Onslaught) is one under which, after the historical case determination, I would receive copies of all the king political records not ordered sequestered by Judge Sinith. This was in late 1976 or early 1977. To date I have received only a few pages of the Hoover 0 \& C records of which I had received copies much earlier from another requester to whom they had been provided. I provided proofs from the PBI's ow of 1977. Not only do I not have copies of those records - I don't even have an aclenow ledgenent of recept of that $I$ sent.

This agreamont was worse than worthless because it misled me and my counsel.
There in added point in this now because I have made more than two file drawers
of Kine records available to a scholar for a scholarly paper to be presented to a
convention of historians. He needs these records that remain withheld from me, oven
though they have bon processed several times for Congressional committees and should have been for other requester of whom I know.

While I cannot say what I will and will not do until I can confer with counsel, my disposition is to talc o no more time for trying to reach any agreement that is not Rcoompaniod with nennimful and dopondablo assurances that your side will keep it, inc tape by an agreed-to time. When a Stipulation is meaningless, I am not optimistic about any agreement having any value.

## $7 / 29 / 79$


[^0]:    以及

