

I have made a folder on this 4/23 order and will try and remember to keep a copy of all corres. on it in that folder.

Names means nothing to me (CD149:13-14). No cards on Neri, which sounded familiar.

CD1075, routing slip on shrink: this could be interesting because of prison grapevine of allegations of things done there (perhaps invalid fears), including with drugs. Some prisoners afraid to take any medication. I'd like to more of that matter. Jim Bennet (sic) is probable former fed director prisons (DJ) James Bennett. Had good reputation (as who didn't?). Because it is a joke, when you are into that again, I'd like a copy of the Willens-Idebeler routing slip on the "search for truth". I'd like to use it!

CD7.169: If this is Edward A. Brand I have a Gary Sanders memo on under False Oswald, Dallas. I'll check when I get to go over this.

CD75:131-2: Suggest you do not dismiss the business of the mailmen in haste. They may be accurately described here. That area is one in which there may have been (security/student) interest and they may have been regular informants. I think I spotted one as mailcarrier in O in NO.

CD87:SS344: Their translator, ex Russian, was poor in English. I have long thought we should have an independent translation made of this original.

CD205:283-4- I think this is the only thing original (besides error) in Kopkin.

Re CD1052: Maybe some paper would be interested in some of this now, but I doubt it, at least not a major one. However, I go into this in the work I am now doing and would like to do it. My wife is not starting the typing of the second part that I've done. I'll be writing of this separately.

FBI B-1: I think Gary did some checking on the Bowen interest. Want to ask him?

Your 5/24: I agreed yours is a correct approach. Only because of the questions you raised did I suggest that you raise those with Jim, who has made his own study and is a lawyer. The point was your apparent desire to have more time, hence I suggested that along with this you could stop the clock with a pro forma appeal.

Your question on CD75:705-6 and CQ is very good if the decision was the FBI's. Did it ever occur to you that you hit Rolapp before he had been sued in? Hence he did it on the ~~best~~ basis of law, not policy. I disagree with you on what the FBI will fight. Hoover will fight anything he feels he can along this lone.

AO: I do not think that I can prove beyond doubt that O was an agent, or as I put it, informant. But I think I can build a case that says nothing else makes sense. On "background" and WC, perhaps that is what I have done and plan in one more chapter. But you'll understand this better what you read what I gave done. I use the non-investigation as having a meaning, not as sloppiness. The chapter following what I have written will be partly from the O in NO material, partly new and other, on the character of the investigation, what it didn't touch, etc. Beginning with the suggestions about my own investigation, you have a large part of what will be done in the rest of the book, after the first chapter and before the second one written. Not entirely that way. I plan to take it chronologically, with the boyhood stuff, to the Marines, etc., with what there is in the files and not used or misused and adding what I learned to it. However, aside from what I've written and you'll see and the last chapter, described, I do not expect to be saying that the WC was not good but that the FBI was and did it to the WC, as with the pictures I seek, etc. I'd like to take each thing and address it if it is reasonable relevant, and this includes what I call the "third man" in the lit dist and the lit print, etc., and what the FBI could not have missed had they made the most perfunctory effort. I have interesting stuff on this. Sp, while in entirely agree with you if you mean I ought not go out of my way just to make cracks, I do not agree on a literal interpretation of laying off, for they all knew what they had to do and as flight from the scene of a crime can be taken as a sign of guilt, so do I interpret the absence of all pictures of this third man from the files or any indication the Commission ever looked at them or caused a search for him, things like that. Ditto Wasp print, unreported by well-known other lit dists, Bringuier perjury, Idebeler's protection of it later, proof that L knew it was perjury he was protecting and its relevance, and many other things. The biggest single section will be N.O., which I'll have to break down by time, subject or both. I think it can be exciting and have no doubt it is a responsible approach.