

U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Washington, D.C. 20535

JAN 24 1985

Mr. Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Road Frederick, Maryland 21701

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

Our letter to you dated November 14, 1984, was in response to your inquiry regarding the accuracy of a statement concerning you contained in Bureau files 62-109060-5900 and 62-116395-1454.

Reference is made to your letter to me dated November 17, 1984, and to your letter to me and Mr. Richard L. Huff dated December 7, 1984.

Efforts have been made to understand and respond to these letters. You have numerous past and present Freedom of Information-Privacy Acts (FOIPA) requests, all of which have FOIPA numbers assigned to them. When you do not cite the appropriate FOIPA number in your communications, additional time and effort must be spent in trying to locate the pertinent request or requests, and delays often result. this instance, those efforts have failed.

FOIPA number 254,713 has been assigned to the referenced letters. Please cite this number and the other pertinent FOIPA numbers or subject matters in your response. No further action will be taken with regard to the referenced letters until your response is received.

Sincerely yours,

James K. Hall

James K. Hall, Chief Freedom of Information-Privacy Acts Section

Records Management Division

3/1/85

Dear Mr. Huff,

Your office is involved in the subject matter of Mr. Hall's letter of the 27th and my today's response so I enclose copies for you.

I also enclose a copy of the column to which I refer.

Please note that if you did not receive a copy of what I filed that Ms. Whittaker did receive a copy of everything, including the attachments only some of which are referred to in the column.

It ought be apparent that Dallas records are involved, exist, are relevant and in at least some instances your office did absolutely nothing on the appeals.

Please note also that you have stonewalled me on the Nosenko records and that some have been disclosed to another and much later requester.

As I am confident I wrote you about this and similar matters, I believe the appeals function includes responsibilities other than referring appeals back to the component that deliberately withholds. From the records I provided you, after which you did nothing at all, one of my requests was allegedly being worked on about seven years ago. If you believe that as co-director of appeals you have met your responsibilities in this matter, I'd certainly like to know what you did. I see only that you create situations in which the law is flagrantly violated or the requester is forced to entirely unnecessary litigation.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Rd. Frederick, MD 21701