

Dear Jim,

2/13/85

Making a package too large to fit in the mailbox for tomorrow's pickup and bringing some firewood in were a bit much, so I was sitting and resting and I started thinking, and that is why I write this now.

My desk is getting close to cleaned up again and I had intended getting back to work on the King book after these simple chores but I now do not trust myself on the stairs. (I have some records to locate to use in the draft of several months ago.)

Some time ago you suggested filing against the FBI for the Nosenko records. When you can maybe this would be a good idea, and it might be of use in the 1996 remand, given their false claims that result in the remand.

There are at least two Nosenko requests, and the second is for what was already disclosed! To Epstein. The FBI is still playing around with the basic request, if you've read the copies of appeals correspondence I've sent. Remember, they told me in 1978 they were on it. Then more recently the "Mooney" letter. But they've only recently been making referrals because only recently I received notice of one from INS.

Huff has made a mockery of the appeals function, in this matter in particular.

So maybe it would be a good idea to nudge them a bit, especially because there is so clear a record there ought be no question of your fees.

However, before filing I think it might be a good idea for me to nudge Huff once again, this time spelling out that I hold him responsible for not performing his assigned duties, and to include that for once in the complaint. I'd recount my more recent correspondence with him about this in the same letter. Tell him that if I have no choice I'll file and it clearly will be because the FBI is determined not to comply and he is determined not to do his duty. Give him, say, two weeks to deliver or I file suit.

But I ought not do that until you are in a position to do it. All you need, really, is copies of the correspondence, which you have and for the most part I have enough of in my office, without having to use the files in the basement.

Using Nosenko is a good choice because it requires no work of me. I want to hold such time as I'd use on it for writing.

And when we are in the seventh year of the request's acknowledgement it ought be clear enough even to a partisan judge.

Moreover, such a letter just might get the records disclosed.

So, when you have time, please let me know.

The other thing that interests me most is the personal records.

If you've heard about the local floods, and some local roads are still closed this afternoon, we are OK but I almost ran into problems yesterday on my way before daylight to my therapy. When I got to Waverly Drive and was making the right turn to go past the school oncoming traffic at the wooden bridge out lights in my eyes, so while I could see well enough to drive I could not see the enormous puddle of water at the very end of Waverly Drive. It was so wide and deep that it virtually dripped my car. I did just get through it before the water killed the motor. It took a quarter hour to get started again, a break for other drivers who had to go around me and the virtual pond. When I got to the stop sign and used my brakes I had none! But fortunately that early there was no cross traffic. So, I crawled to the mall and my parking spot and three hours later when I started home, still no brakes. If this ever happens to you, like in the RockCreek fords, ride the break and run the motor faster to dry the brakes. I had to half way home! Best,