

Dear Jim,

2/25/84

When today's mail came, later than usual all Saturday's, I first read those simply marvellous things of Jennifer's because I knew I would enjoy them and then got to Hall's 2/16 letter to you. You and May really must encourage her to write because she writes like a well-educated adult now. I don't mean just to write things but to learn writing techniques as though she were older. For an 8-year that stuff is incredibly good! I'm going to send it to Dave because I'm sure it will impress him and Elaine.

At the beginning of Hall's letter I was uneasy because of your mixing Mark's temporary FBI deposit surplus with me. If you mentioned this to me I did not recall it. But the further I got into Hall the more I liked the outcome. As you will see in what I've written you.

It simply is beyond belief that Dan Metcalfe would refer appeals to the FBI whose failures I was appealing or that he would expect it to respond when my appeals state that it has responded to nothing.

But I like it when Hall manages to write himself around the fee waiver abrogation which I did appeal after you did nothing about it. That appeal is even attached to one of my 1996 affidavits. And Shea never responded. I also like it, well, not really but in the current context, when Hall entirely ignores my Nosenko letters and the referred Nosenko appeal and claims he is replying and then simply lies about the CIA referral matter. These two things, both in the appeal, are the only subject of correspondence between the FBI and me for a very long time and in each instance it was initiated by -Hall himself.

I've done what ordinarily I would not do, sent Metcalfe and Hall copies of my letter to you and Hall a copy of my letter to Metcalfe. I also include my earlier appeal to Metcalfe and Hall's letter to me with my renewed appeal to Metcalfe.

I don't know what was in Metcalfe's mind and I hate to think he would be this dirty to me, solid, decent conservative that you've described him as being, but it was stupid, very, very stupid.

Meanwhile, Hall's writing himself around the fee-waiver abrogation, which I did appeal, gave me the opportunity to renew it and claim precedence to Metcalfe because Hall has suddenly made it relevant. And of all the few-waiver matters that ought be considered now, none is as promising or as important, particularly in exposing what DJ is really up to. Now perhaps you can see another reason for my having asked Shea to refer it all around. I called Cole what he is and he was and remains silent. Nobody has denied - or can deny - my allegations.

While I hesitate to suggest that you give Lynch anything else to read, I do believe that it would be a good idea for him to read the enclosed, the Cole abrogation of the fee waiver and my appeal/response. Nobody will have to explain either their silence or what the FBI is always up to with me or why they did what they did and their objective in 78-0322/0420. On the question of fee waivers, which is important to many people and pro bono organizations, I wish he would consider filing suit over just this one thing, the abrogation. If you think he might, please also give him the Shea memo that was withheld from me under some phony exemption claim and disclosed to Mark.

I'll be writing further about other things in today's mail when I get to them.

Best,