Harold Weisberg, Privacy Act epicel/ JFK assessmention records 8/6/79

Attached is 62-109090-595, from the Consisting of 4/24/67 as of the time Mrs. Diana Moo wrote the President and May 2 as stamped for filing at the FML. This record exists entirely alone in this Section of records, which is unusual within my experience in reviewing countless letters of various kinds in FML files.

Hormally there would be dupli cate filing, at least in the assassination file, that being the subject of my first book, about which Fra. Nos wrote the President.

Normally there would be an FEI commont relating to what Bufiles reflect and a recommondation not to acknowledge or respond or perhaps a draft of a response. Nothing like this at this point in this file, as provided to me.

What is also unusual is that there is no indexing notation relating to me or the book.

With a copy of the letter sent to the Chief Justice in addition, the bald notetion "No Ack" appears even more unusual, especially with the added notation directing that a copy be made. It is not provided. This copy was directed to be filed. This is to say that there are at least two copies and I've been provided with one only. I did not get that, to the best of my recellection, error; the salection of records provided under my EA request.

In short I believe that with regard to Ers. Nos's letter there remains non-compliance under the PA and JFE requests, both.

By this time the PBI had decided it had to "stop" me, its word. It had considered and abandoned filing a libel suit with an SA as its front. It had done the legal research, no copies of which have yot been provided, and it has decided that there was libel, no illuterations of which have been provided along with what is necessary, factual proof. Conchisory comments are included in what was disclosed that, without any backup, amounts to defamation. The Lab and logal Counsel were involved, but none of their alloged work was provided.

I don't know if the number "226" in the lower left-hand corner provides any kind of lead but I have seen such numbers added to records that appear to have been destined for a place other than Central Files alone. How the FBE measured to ariticize and what it did about books and authors it did not like are of historical and political importance. I therefore provide what I bedieve may give you suce perspective on this.

I had completed ay third book, had begun the fourth, and had been in New Orleans for the first time for a fow days. A few wonths carlier one of the few copies of my second book just meneged to get lost in Hew York. By printer did not have a copy of it by the time the Miractor Lesuel a proce statement calding response to it, which I found and find as emusual as by inability to get a copy of that release suitable for facsingle toproduction. (Nuch later the FLI told Mr. Locar to file on FOIA request for a copy of that press release and in that way, almost a decade later and after publication of the book for which I intended it, I did get that moss release.) The ribbon copy of my second's book suffered a series of minfortunes in the sail, from which printed copies of my first and second books requested by those who wrote to me ware suffering mysterious Maappearances. The Post Office sever found a single one of these "lost" copies, not even in the some and waste paper it was required to save and sell. After I got the carbon copy intended for my limitan publisher to my agent in New York and long after the Post Office reported that search did not disclose the missing ribbon copy, my agent received it. When the Hines of London wanted to read the manageript my agent cont the villion copy to its Vashington office, which never received it. Instaad, six while later. I received it in the sail with a note from the Mashington Post Office explaining that it had been received without wrapping and it was being anot to the address found on the inside. Only it was addressed as not on the inside, and with improved we mayle. The double wrapping disappeared in the soils without a single page getting dog-eared.

There was a substantial excent of information in this book relating to the FEL, to what it had not investigated and reported, to what it had not told the Marron Corriction, to what it had misrepresented to the Commission, and even Like which stated the opposite of the underlying records relating to Oswald's career in Hew Orleans. And all of this coincided with the Carrison beginnings, which did interest the FBL such. For contrast and comparison I provide another second of the same period and from the same file (although designated for duplicate filing), Serial 595. Here, without any of the underlying material (which remains withheld and I do appeal this because I do desire it), there are seven pages of alleged detail on a book more to the Fil's liking and misultaneously of remarkable dishemesty. It was by Lempence Schiller, the most successful ghoul/scavenger of recent years. (Among the detail the Fil saw fit not to include is the fact that as Jack Ruby's agent he managed to pocket more than half of the money he is known to have raised estansibly for Ruby's legal defense.) In this record I as marked for indexing but although I may have forgotten it I do not recall receiving this under my PA request.

2

"his record appears to have gone to many in the FML, including for no apparent reason SA Shaneyfelt. The part of the book that relates to ne also relates inaccurately to SA Shaneyfelt's work, albeit ours pleasantly for the FML. At the time I thought it remarkable that Schiller, who was not reknowned for doing great amounts of detailed work, had so much gardises detail relating to SA Shaneyfelt and how it was of no consequence that when SA Shaneyfelt filled a reconstruction of the orige it was 30% off on time.

There is no deficiency of skillar records among those displosed by the FML. I provide this one because only one number separates it from the ^Mrs. Noe letter.

Meanshile, unless the FUI is profe to allege libel and plan "stopping" suits with no factual and legal basis there are underlying reaards relevant in the JFK cases and my PA request that have not yet been provided. I an again appealing these demials.