Dear Mr. Shea,

1 UNN

5/14/79

Aver a period of weeks I have been trying to complete gging over copies of records Whad made earlier for appeal or for amplification of previous appeals and as it was possible had further checking done in an effort to be more informative.

There have been other needs and interruptions. It now is certain that there will be further interruptions this week. I am certain also that there is writing that was interrupted that I have not located. Because this situation can last for some time I am sending you herewith what I have done to date. I will send the rest as soon as it is possible.

To a large degree these appeals do not represent personal interest and require time that is opposed to personal interest. However, I have been forced into a public role and I cannot shan that responsibility.

Where the satters at are of interest to me I believe " have indicated this.

Some of the enclosed also relate to the King case.

So that we may both be better able to separate the various items I have undertaken to do some saptioning and thus attempt some subject breaking-down.

To now it has not been possible for me to establish separate appeals subject files. I am trying to do this with the enclosed and will attempt to do this with prior appeals.

If your staff has any questions I will respond as best I can.

Because the good faith of FOIA searches is always an important question I draw your attention to the fact that the enclosed adds to the identifications of files not searched in both JFK and King cases. Reason to believe those files are relevant also is included.

One of the enclosed FBINQ records, * believe part of the appeal related to Dallas policeman James M. Chancy, indicates that the General Investigative Division has its own files and its own file clerks.

I reised this and related questions with SA John Hartingh and other FEI personnel in several conferences on the King case. They then denied this.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg