Mr. Quinlan J. Shea, Director FOIA/PA Appeals Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530 Rt. 12, Frederick, Md. 21701 7/5/78

## Dear Quin,

This is an addition to my appeal relating to the Dallas Field Office JFK assausination records.

I attach a record that emerged haphazardly from the copying of the records I have selected for other uses from the entire file or records just provided. I explain so you will know that this is an accidental, not a complete illustration.

When I receive such records I keep them as I received them and indicate with paperchips those of which I want copies for other purposes. My wife, preserving the records as received, then makes the indicated copies. Later I go over the copies she has made (often wondering why I wanted one or two of them).

Because my wife asked me a question about the attached record a few minutes ago my attention was attracted to it.

It is one of the records to which I referred earlier in alleging new and improper use of (b)(7)(d). It also is a record in which the withheld name was already released by the FEL. I believe that name is Marris.

I have already stated that the field office files copies contain information not included on FBIHQ copies. This attached record illustrates some of the kinds of information on field office but bot on EQ copies.

There is the additional question, what is the need to withhold the name of the source in this, historical case? Hundreds if not thousands of similar names were never withheld, at the outset because Director Hoover ordered that they be made available once the Warren Commission had done the identical thing.

On this the record is clear: the FBI is withholding under FOIA what it did not withhold prior to FOIA. Surely this is not the intent of the Act. I would hope it is not the Department's intent. But it has once again become FBI policy.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg