

Dear Jim,

1/4/89

Enclosed is a copy of my letter to Moschella, with the attachments.

I think if you go over what I've sent you it will be apparent that from the first it has been clear that the FBI is dumping its selection of records relating to me to another or other requesters. This is explicit in the attached FBI note relating to the Gregory Silvermaster case. With which I had no connection at all, incidentally.

Over the years I've been providing the FBI with copies of its own records that leave without any question the existence of other and relevant records that in no case I can recall it has ever disclosed to me. I can remember enough off the top of the head without any searching at all. Some, by the way, quite as false as they are defamatory.

In recent years I've had a fair amount of one-way correspondence with Moschella on some of these things. He has yet to make any search or take any action I can recall to comply when I direct him to existing and relevant records.

Of the things you may recall, there is the CLICK business and the Nosenko records. With Nosenko they ignored my requests until they got one from a guy whose politics they liked and then they sent me copies of what they sent him only. He died and I've not gotten any further records.

Nothing has changed, absent compulsion, since McCreight sat mute before the Senate FOIA subcommittee when confronted with some 25 of my ignored requests. And while there may have been some incidental compliance with some of those as a result of litigation, to this day there has been no reported search to comply with them.

Except for the few CLICK records disclosed under Moschella, there has not been any compliance with my oft-repeated requests for all records relating to me. Meanwhile, they are departing from their practises and their claims in courts to make ex parte and prejudicial disclosure of those relevant records they do not still suppress. What they suppress is what they do not want known, what undermines the interpretation they want applied to what they disclose.

Aside from the existing and withheld records I refer to there is a goodly number of them reflected in the records I've sent you. No claim to exemption is made for them. They are just withheld.

The one thing I've no reason to expect from the FBI is anything that can be called good will or reasonableness. I can expect only hatred and opposition. And the assorted dishonesties in which they have always been immune.

So, with the glaring and knowing lie in his 12/15 that I quote and is attached, I considered it not only appropriate to call him a liar, which I've done, but to give him something to think about in the event this goes to court. He is a liar, he knows he is a liar, he knows it has long been FBI policy and practise to lie about me and in this instance, with the overtones of intended impropriety that we have, I believe it is not a bad idea to let them think about the possibility of their lying being basic in anything that can go to court and who knows, for the first time I might get a decent one.

Meanwhile, and this is important to me now, particularly with the possibility of their having something dirty in the works, I want a firm and unequivocal record ~~and~~ telling him and them to their faces that they are liars serves this purpose. It also is a pre-existing response to anything dirty they have in the works that gets public.

Best,

