Mr. Emil Moschella, chief
FOIPA Section
FBIHQ
Washington, D.C. 20535

4/17/87

FOIPA 280,521

Dear Mr. Moschella,

Th ank you for the Click file with your letter of the 14th.

I am interested in the cross-references if they are not too numerous. If there are many, please let me know and I'll try to outline my special interests.

94-3-4-403-4 is the Click editor's response to Mr. Hoover's letter about my article you sent me recently, on Rohm & Haas. It gives the date of Mr. Hoover's letter as June 10, 1941. It is this letter that I have been trying to get for many years and did request repeatedly long before your current number on this very old request. If it is not in the Click main file then it ought be in the chron file of Mr. Hoover's correspondence or in a Rohm & Haas file. Or, perhaps, a file on Jan Bat'a or his shoe company then at Belcamp, Md. The first of the series of investigative reports I did for Click on Nazi corporations and cartels and the hazard they held before the attack on Pearl Harbor was on Bat'a.

I do not recall whether I was then aware of any FBI interest in Bat'a but I do know it had an interest in Rohm & Haas and some of the other subjects of my inquiries of that period. (Rohm & Haas had a subsidiary, Resinous Products and Chemicals and the best known product was plexiglas. Earlier it was well known for chemicals used in tanning leather.)

You assure me that all my correspondence is a matter of record, and I take this to mean with your office, not just FBI general files, where I assumed it all went anyway. That would have some useful meaning to me if it means that you will get around to responding to my proper requests or stating which, if any, you regard as other than proper. For example, you have ignored what I wrote about Nosenko, the subject of two of my requests of a decade or more ago, after I got an unsatisfactory disclosure I believe was made not in response to my earlier requests but because you got one from the late Mike Mooney. As I recall it now, with searching difficult for me, what was ultimately provided is unsatisfactory because the reason given is not a legitimate reason for withholding, timing it to the CIA's testimony before the House assassins committee, and because it does not justify withholdings of before that date when there are existing and relevant records of before that date.

Then there are the never disclosed and never scoounted records I correctly identified because they were identified by the FBI's records it disclosed to me relating to me and in long delayed and incomplete response to my ~~requests~~ requests for the records on or about me. Two that I recall are those that say I had a personal relationship with a Soviet national inside the Soviet embassy and that someone from there visited me at out farm. Both are false, perhaps the reason for the stonewalling and the nature of the distorted information's source is obvious in both case, as I think I indicated to you. Are we not past the point where we pretened that we did not engage in electronic surveillance and photographing and things like that?

So, I hope you will get around to complying with this peersonal request of as I now recall 1975 with infrequent return to it there after.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

HAROLD WEISBERG
7627 OLD RECEIVER RD.
FREDERICK, MD 21701