To Quin Shea from Harold Weisberg ro prior appeals
JFK assassination records
Aing assassination records

Attached are copies of FRIM; # 62-109060-1245 and 1246. I intend these to illustrate what is wrong with the FRI's approach and attitude toward the processing of a records that can embarrase it; its processing of historical case records, where different standard ought apply; what resulted when the FRI refused to use the indexes to the published books on the King assassination, including my own; and why all the records in both cases require the processing about which I kept warming the FRI and not which it really cannot/have known might met be required of it as a result of its obduracy and out-and-out refusal to heed what it was told.

I've ploked these records when I came accross them while engaged in other work because everything withheld and everything marked for withhelding but not withheld is in the public domain and has been released by the FBI before it processed these records and because the FBI has been stonewalling so on the same information in the King case despite my informing it that it was withhelding the public domain.

all the men mentioned are dead, in addition.

The withheld name is that of Willie Semermett. It would appear from 1245 that secretive FMIR; was not letting the field offices known that Semermett had been a symbolic informant of the Mismi F.C. as well as of local Mismi authorities. It also was keeping secret, as it kept it secret from the Warren Commission, that the threat against President Mennedy was tape recorded by Semermett for the Mismi authorities, who gave the tape to the FBI.

This in itself has separate distorical importance.

The note states that the information was provided 11-10-63. The tape was made the day before. The President was shieduled to have a motoreade on his Riami appearance of 11/19/63, which is to say three days before he was killed. Because of threats that motoreade was cancelled. And what the note obscures is that the official account of the JFK assessination has it pulled off exactly as Milteer said it would be.

What FRIE also does not in this record disclose to the other field offices is that immediately after the JFK assassination Milteer in effect took credit for it and said he had known what he was talking about on 11/9/63.

Nome of this is secret because I published it and more in Franc-Up. It has been within the public desain, depending on the information, from a decade to almost a decade and a half. In addition, all the withheld information and more is readily available at the National Archives. I mean the FMI reports holding the information.

This also gets to one of my FOIA requests with which the FBI has yet to comply, for the tape. I published a partial transcript, which came from the Manni States Attorney.

I told the FBI this and much more in the King case when I recognized the withholdings as what I had published. I await any response or compliance or replacement of records.

The FB also stonewalls on other information given to it by then Assistant States Attorney (no: judge) Seymour Gelber, who has provided copies of some of this.

Whatever may be in any analyst's uninformed mind this has become a major diminformation operations, with the House assassins going apo over it.

This and never information have been published by Minni magazine, as I am certain the Minni field office files (whether or not other files) reflect.

The foregoing characterises a large percentage of the withholdings, withholdings in which the PEI persisted and persists despite my informing it all along with regard to such cases and despite is Legar's warming added to sine that we would have to request the reprocessing of all the King records.

Also bearing on what underlies such withholdings pretendedly to protect people is a sensetional item on this merming's Good Morning America 7 a.z. newscast, that a prisoner who had served time with Jenes Earl Ray reports having been offered \$50,000 by a businessand's groupt to kill Hing. His sense is given as Hyere and the original story is credited to the New York Times.

It long has been obvious to se that the FMI has been playing misinformation games with those with a built-in weakness for them, the house assessing consittee. I have already put some of the records indicating this in court records, without any comment from the FMI.

In this instance the leak is by it as as a consequence of its feeding thes kind of known bad information to the sensation-seeking committee.

In this instance also the integrity of the Department and of the Attorney eneral is involved because the same canara is a significant part of the CFR report, where it conjectures is involved because it attributes to James Earl Hay.

You may recall that the maso I prepared for Civil repeatedly warms about the harm inherent in the improper withholdings, such as of prisoners' mapes. What now has happened illustrates this, harm in misleading the country all over again and harm from my inability to inform the press of precisely which of the mangy such records I have shows the story to have been proven to be false. (One illustration that could fit what I heard on TV is a simple misinterpretation of what a businesswoods said in a moment of bitterness over not being able to get a loss she needed.)

This kind of typical PBI news management effort is a much more likely explanation of its reason for withholding the names of prisoners, especially of those who have gone public on their com, than the claimed need to project them from have, as I've suggested from the non-conspirators.

(The name is Russell "yers. The item was recated at 8 a.m.)

I have given the FBI copies of news stories holding the fabrications of a number of these prisoners and then informed it that its own clippings files hold even more, but not a single withholding has been replaced.

Goe may or more the cost of those kinds of POIA malpractises, which I believe the foregoing shows cannot be immorently accidental, has cost and will cost the Department much. If its integrity also is involved, now of historically, I believe the cost can be greater. Aside from this there is the question of compliance with law. I go into this in the hope that performance can be better and costs reduced in the processing of those records not yet processed and to reflect the need for reprocessing.