High Court Upholds U.S. Data

By John P. MacKenzie Washington Post Staff Writer

yesterday that the federal other congressmen might be safeguards the government's right to classify documents the Nixon administration over "secret" and "top secret" and does not increase citizen rights of access to classified material.

Splitting 5 to 3, the court spurned the bid of 33 congressmen to use the 1967 in not immunize from disclosure Powell Jr. formation law to smoke out allegedly overclassified govern-

ment data.

Acting in a case growing out of the controversial 1971 nuclear blast on Amchitka Island in Alaska, the court also held that government agencies need not automatically surrenzens claim that the material is not entitled to the secrecy privilege asserted by the government.

The court also:

- Scheduled full-scale review this term of the constitutionality of state tax credits and tuition reimbursement to parents of parochial school children.
- Upheld the power of prosecutors, acting through grand juries, to compel individuals to produce examples of voice. handwriting and other personal characteristics although the evidence could help build a criminal case against the individuals.
- Granted the Justice Department's request to block a lower court order that would have permitted the Rev. Philip Berrigan and the Rev. Daniel Berrigan to travel to Hanoi over the objections of the U.S. Parole Board and the State Department.
- Refused to hear the contention of two workers in the Eugene McCarthy campaign that federal civil rights laws permit suing the city of Chicago for police violence after the 1968 Democratic National onvention.

In the information act case, the court reversed the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Dis-

trict of Columbia Circuit, which ruled in 1971 that Rep. The Supreme Court ruled Patsy Mink (D-Hawaii) and 32 Freedom of Information Act entitled to see some secret papers detailing quarrels within nuclear blast at Amchitka Island, Alaska.

Suing under the act, the mere classification stamp did any nonsensitive material intheir chambers and disclose more data not intertwined with sen-dashed. sitive papers.

the congressmen and ordered Stewart said, but "it has built der documents for inspection a similar review in a judge's into the Freedom of Informabe confidential advice to Pres- provides no means to question sustained by the high court. been."

Writing for the majority, stronger the wisdom of an underground against secrecy but chose not vote. to, he added.

Joining White were Chief Justice Warren E. Burger and congressmen argued that a Justices Potter Stewart, Harry

Stewart added in a concurcluded with data that was ring opinion that Congress, information

"Congress has conspicuously The lower court agreed with failed to attack the problem,

Justices William O. Douglas, Justice Byron R. White said William J. Brennan Jr. and the act's exemptions from dis-Thurgood Marshall dissented. closure were clear. Congress Justice William H. Rehnquist. could have armed citizens former head of a Justice Deweapon partment office which handled the Amchitka case, did not

In other action?

Aid to Religion

The showdown on state aid A. Blackmun and Lewis F. to parochial school parents will come later this term in cases involving tax credits in New York and tuition reimproperly classified. They said not the court, should be bursement in Pennsylvania. A judges should view the files in blamed if citizens' hopes for decision, which is expected by June, could tell whether the Nixon administration's credit proposals are constitutional.

Meanwhile the court, rejecting pleas by Catholic parents by judges when private citi- chambers of material said to tion Act an exemption that in Ohio, refused to block a Dec. 29 injunction by a federal ident Nixon. Both secrecy an executive decision to stamp court i Columbus against the adocument secret, however awarding of state and local cynical, myopic or even cortax credits in that state. A act and both exemptions were rupt that decision might have stay of the lower court order might have indicated a prelim-

Secrecy

inary lineup of justices in favor of the state aid.

Grand Juries

The power of federal grand juries to compel witnesses to product voice and handwriting samples-and to imprison recalcitrant witnesses until they comply—was sustained by a 6-to-3 margin.

Over the dissents of Douglas, Brennan and Marshall the court held that neither the right to privacy nor the privilege against self-incrimination, safeguarded by the Fourth and Fifth amendments, were violated by production "identification" material.

Berrigans

Douglas alone dissented as the court stayed a decision against the Parole Board, which had denied travel permission to the Berrigan brothers to go to North Vietnam. The action cleared the way for a full hearing in U.S. District Court here on the travel restrictions.