


BRIEF FOR APPELLANT

IN THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

No. 85-5728



SONIA DETTMANN,
Appellant,

v.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,
Appellee

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the
District of Columbia, Hon. Thomas F. Hogan, Judge

James H. Lesar
918 F Street, N.W., Suite 509
Washington, D.C. 20004
Phone: (202) 393-1921

Attorney for Appellant

IN THE
 UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
 FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

SONIA DETTMANN,
 Appellant,
 v.
 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,
 Appellee

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

Case No. 85-5728

CERTIFICATE REQUIRED BY RULE 8(c) OF THE GENERAL
 RULES OF THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR
 THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

The undersigned, counsel of record for Sonia Dettmann,
 plaintiff-appellant, certifies that the following listed parties
 and amici, if any, appeared below:

Sonia Dettmann (Plaintiff)

U.S. Department of Justice (Defendant)

These representations are made in order that judges of
 this Court, inter alia, may evaluate possible disqualification
 or recusal.



 JAMES H. LESAR

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
STATEMENT OF ISSUES	1
STATUTES AND REGULATIONS	2
REFERENCES TO PARTIES AND RULINGS	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	3
A. Background	3
B. Proceedings in District Court	4
C. The District Court's Opinion	11
ARGUMENT	13
I. THE DISTRICT COURT ERRED IN HOLDING THAT THE FBI JUSTIFIABLY WITHHELD PORTIONS OF DOCUMENTS WITHOUT CLAIM OF EXEMPTION ON THE GROUND THAT THEY WERE "NOT PERTINENT TO PLAINTIFF"	13
II. THE DISTRICT COURT ERRED IN SUSTAINING THE FBI'S SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION	17
A. Summary Judgment Standard	17
B. Summary Judgment on Exemption 7 Claims Was Improperly Granted	19
1. Threshold Ruling	19
2. Exemption Claims	22
CONCLUSION	25

TABLE OF CASES

	<u>Page</u>
* <u>Adickes v. S.H. Kress & Co.</u> , 398 U.S. 144 (1970)	17
* <u>Afshar v. Department of State</u> , 226 U.S.App.D.C. 388, 705 F.2d 1125 (1983)	16-17
* <u>Allen v. Central Intelligence Agency</u> , 205 U.S.App.D.C. 159, 636 F.2d 1287 (1980)	25
<u>Boomgarden v. Coyer</u> , 156 U.S.App.D.C. 109, 479 F.2d 201 (1973)	18
<u>Bouchard v. Washington</u> , 168 U.S.App.D.C. 402, 514 F.2d 824 (1974)	17
<u>Committee for Nuclear Responsibility, Inc. v. Seaborg</u> , 149 U.S.App.D.C. 380, 463 F.2d 783 (1971)	22
* <u>Committee on Masonic Homes v. NLRB</u> , 414 F. Supp. 426 (E.D.Pa. 1976)	23
* <u>Dept. of the Air Force v. Rose</u> , 425 U.S. 352 (1974)	14
* <u>Donofrio v. Camp</u> , 152 U.S.App.D.C. 280, 470 F.2d 428 (1972)	18
<u>Doyle v. United States Dept. of Justice</u> , 215 U.S. App.D.C. 333, 668 F.2d 1365 (1981)	15
<u>Getman v. NLRB</u> , 146 U.S.App.D.C. 209, 450 F.2d 670 (1971)	15
* <u>Hatcher v. United States Postal Service</u> , 556 F. Supp. 331 (D.D.C. 1982)	21
<u>Littlejohn v. Shell Oil Co.</u> , 483 F.2d 1140 (5th Cir. 1973) (<u>en banc</u>)	19
* <u>Long v. Internal Revenue Service</u> , 596 F.2d 363 (9th Cir. 1979)	15
<u>Morton-Norwich Products, Inc. v. Matthews</u> , 415 F. Supp. 78 (D.D.C. 1978)	17
<u>NLRB v. Robbins Tire & Rubber Co.</u> , 437 U.S. 214 (1978)	14
<u>NLRB v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.</u> , 421 U.S. 132 (1974)	16
* <u>Nyhus v. Travel Management Corp.</u> , 151 U.S.App.D.C. 269, 466 F.2d 440 (1972)	18

TABLES OF CASES (CONT.)

	<u>Page</u>
* <u>Pratt v. Webster</u> , 218 U.S.App.D.C. 17, 673 F.2d 408 (1982)	19-20
* <u>Rural Housing Alliance v. Department of Agriculture</u> , 162 U.S.App.D.C. 122, 498 F.2d 73 (1974)	23
* <u>Rushford v. Civiletti</u> , 485 F. Supp. 477 (D.D.C. 1980), <u>aff'd mem.</u> , 656 F.2d 900 (D.C.Cir. 1981)	23
* <u>Schaffer v. Kissinger</u> , 164 U.S.App.D.C. 282, 505 F.2d 389 (1974)	22
<u>Soucie v. David</u> , 145 U.S.App.D.C. 144, 448 F.2d 1067 (1971)	15
<u>United States v. Diebold</u> , 369 U.S. 654 (1962)	18
<u>Ward v. United States</u> , 471 F.2d 667 (3rd Cir. 1973)	19
* <u>Washington Post Co. v. U.S. Dept. of State</u> , 222 U.S. App.D.C. 248, 685 F.2d 687 (1982)	15

OTHER AUTHORITIES

Administrative Procedure Act of 1946, § 3, 60 Stat. 238 (1946)	16
28 C.F.R. § 16.10(b)(7) (1984)	16
B. Kaplan, <u>Amendments to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 1961-1962 (II)</u> , 77 Harvard L. Rev. 801 (1964)	19
Rule 56(c), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 56(f)	17 18-19, 21-22
S.Rep.No. 813, 89th Cong., 1st Sess. 3 (1965)	14
S.Rep.No.1200, 93d Cong., 2d Sess. 7 (1974)	15
"Short Guide to the Freedom of Information Act, <u>Freedom of Information Case List (September 1984)</u>	16