Dear Hark,
Through three major and dangerous surgeries, the last not uncomanly fatal, and since then, I have not known a sleepless night and with one exception never required a sleeping pill. The one exception was the late-night world series when $I$ was in the hospital and I wastig given one because I was sokeyed up.

Last night I went to sleep, as usual, within seconds of pillowing ny head, happy over the Redskins cone-Iror-behind victory and the pleasant visit of a Ereat-niecfand great nephew who tho little boys love us as we love them.

During ny earliest writing, when I sonetines worked around the clock, it was not uncomon for me to awaken after an hour or two, with what I wanted to write clearly in mind, and I sat down at the typewriter and wrote, off the top of the head, work that/has survived and regeived some praise. Two exanple, if yayhave my books, one the sixth chapter of $\ln \mathrm{f}$ latter is both enotional and political, political in the sense of a J'acuse.

I have lived the kind of life that despite its rany tensions brings sleep to me almosTinstantaneously, a blesssing. I have tho contentment that cones from work than cannot be faulted on fect, has stood the testing of time and many and powerful enenies and, as I have been told often over two decades, including almost daily still, is worhtwhile and a public service. I am aware of magnitude of what I have undertaken and the degree to which I have succeeded. And now I would be true to this, am unwilling to addicate the responsibility I undertook merely because I am old, unwell and in verying degrees always tired.

It nay help you to understand what I'II be saying if you bear in mind that I am the first generation of my family born in thes country through more than a quarter of its life Ithave lived.

Asleep within seconds bf retiring last night I awoke at about $2 \mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{m}$. , prompted by the problems of a prostate condition that increasingly indicates that surgery for it may not be far in the future. But instead of, as usually, falling asleep within seconds I renained awake, abed for more than two. hourd. After an hour I decided to take an old tranquilizer prescribed for other reasons long ago and I remain as wide awake.

Also in my thinking is what a week ago I was told by a local cardiovascular physician, not sumgeon, consultation with whom was approved by bethy family doctor and Washington surgfon. After an hour he told me that he could not explain why he found no heart disease, that from my record he expected to, and he was surprised. That, while good, is also a kind of waming.

While from the past you nay not be willing to assume this, I have always tried not to compromise others, although I have never been accused of not being outspoken. I will not comprmojse you, but I write to tell you what was in my mind and denied me sleep for the time I can remember. (I hope youjare so fartmate!)

In a short while I leave for the first of two weekly blood tests and then about two hours of walking therapy. When I return fron it I will set a little upper-body exercise, splitting wood sitting down, may return to it again later in the day, and then will begin to prepare what cane to mind and kept me awake most of the night.

It is something few lawyers would dare, few could hope to survive daring, and I think must be done. I plan to ignore all the stereotypes of a petition for an en banc rehearing and to do it in the form of a J'Acus. I an not telling Jim this because the conflict of $i$ terest persists, nore after this judicial outrage。 And although I cannot be certaiñ until I uet into it, a major part will assail the assaults on
the freedon and independence of lawyers and on the constitutional independence of the judiciary, both forecasting ths subversyon of what for all its nany failings remains the best system of freedom, self-govemnent and fustice man has yet evolved.

I arn also thinking of giving these monsters nore opposition, and I am not at all sure that can accomplish it.

I remind you, in your own interest as well as mine, that ours is a confidential relationship.

And while I have no reason to believe that what I plan will attract much public attention and am limited in what I am able to do to achieve that, it just might and that could serve worthwhile and inportant purposes.

The explanation given by the government in asking that the costs be aressed against Jim is that to collect then from me they must resort to the waryland courts. Fine! Without any reason to belisve that I may not get an unfriednly reaction from it, I'll foree then to take ne to the Maryland courts, hopefully locally so I can get there without any major problen. And then I will Iaduch a detailed, docunented and factual assault on the totaly ty on the dishonesty oter which the issue before my local courts.

I do not intend to make even an effort to copy the forms in what I'll send to the appals court, except for covyinc the first page, and as of now there are only a few thingsin the Willkie disgrace to hinself ond the courts, some lies, some fabrications and all at variance with the record betore him. And what he has that is not in the case record or any of the briefincs, his own improvisation that it is no great burden for a lawer to have to travel a hundred miless a day for a limitless number of days, without regard to the interest of his other clients, of his family, without thought of the great costs that wpuld accumulate. A

Please understand that I an not even by indirection seeking to involve you in this. Nor am I, if you so derire, seeking to esclude you from this. I do believe that this would be quite hazardous for rust lawyers and if I an correct in this I think you should not run any such risk. On the other hand, I an certain that I can beneft from good advice. The only local lawer I kow at all well, a fine human being, is ultra conservative in these matters and I would not crouble him.

One of the bocks I planned that I'Il never be able to write has the working title, Tiger to Ride and I'Il not take yourtime with details of it save one, that JWK quite literally had ordered the liquidation of our adventure in Vietnam, called his generals in one at a tire, and in the language of one of the brightest, an intellectual I interviewed on tape in 1967 or 1968 , told them that political problems are not susceptible of military solutions. I face a political problem from an activist political court which is hellbent on facilitating the establishment of an authoritarianism. Without diregard of ,the little law with which I am familiar, ${ }^{\perp}$ propose addressing my - and I think the natuon's - political problem politically. By legal considerations I have in mind what is in the decision that is not in the record before the appeals court. Hike that atrocity of sentencing $\mathcal{J}_{\text {im }}$ to endless days on the beltway and superhighways and pawing through 300,000 pages of unindexed files. And the allegedly certain means of retrieval that - have, the latyer quite explicitly and unrefutedly in the case redord. ( $\quad$ mh wh Mem Ny:)

What if anythine I can accomplish within or without, the court I an not even trying to guess. The logal papers are Bircher owned, unimaginative and not staffed by any tincoln Steffens/ The major media is staffed by reporters whose continued employment is their najor concern.

But hell, Hark, my parents did not flee a tyranny exceeded only by Hitilers in modern tiries for such considerations or any considerations of odds to deter me. and
aside fron my own personal interests I have history to serve.
laybe, just maybe, in addition to serving my minimum purposes I can get some fat sizzling in the fire of the political activists who have corrupted the courts and the entire system of govemment and nade themselves and the courts an arm of regressive, callous and indifferent government that seeks to make the nation nore authoritarian, what I regard as truly subversive.

When I wrote you the other day and made a general reference to the interests of others what 1 really had in mind 4 作that others, perhaps as or including amicae, might want to file for an en banc rehearing and review, if only to be of some help to those on the court who are decent judges in what was once a great tradition, if only to lay a foundation for a major effort before the Congress.

This may shock or frighten Jou, as 1 hope it does not, but I have writeen you before, for entirely different purposes, reminding you of what $I$ remember that I lived through and will never forget, what may well have meant more to me because I am a first-generation American born free only because my parents dared the very, very diffulty to make it possible and to escape a murderous tyranny. If you are familiar with my work you may be able to see that it is an effort ta defend our systen of man's freedom, not the whimsical pursuit of any whodunit.

I do this in haste to inform you in conitence, to learn it there is any possibility of my compromising you or in in any way, and to learn if in a few weys vaguely in my mind now, you may perhaps be of some help. For example, in providing what I'dd need to address, what lan, reg解ulations and/or decisions mean by Hexd burdensomeness as it relates to what discovery requires.

I also would like tdonow if there are any length Iimitations and if I max want to exceed them, what I should do. Likewise, the minimum number of copies to send to the clerk. Aul how much tume theris,

II am inclined to believe that the whole thing will be rejected out of hand, and if that happens I think there is a possibility that I may be able to get some attention to some of the content.

I havdused a phrase with Jim rather of ten and never been able to make much progress with it in his thinking, no matter how much he can look beck on what I have done and seen how it can work. The phrase is intellectual judo. In the most recent decistions and their frightful unfactuality and dishonesty the appeals court had given me the possibilities of asserting intellectual jude, and I'Il see if that and forchemedes and his level concept may make past of the seemingly impossible possibes. Time to get my blood drawn and gobble breakfast and I'Il read and correct this later. By the way, the cardiologicst took me aff one medicine and put me on another feared by my family doctor, and after a week there is indication of some sicht benefit. I'm not getting dizzy as easily, can stay on my feet a little longer and can walk and hande hills a bit better. I've yet to make the experiment with stairs, but I'm hopefull that soon they ${ }^{\prime} 11$ be less of a limitation.

Later: from previous page: How in the world, based on the record of my steadfast refusal to be part of it, could Willkie presume the opposite, that if Jim did come up I'd have helped him in any way? This is insane! I filed a detailed affidavit on this, recounting that Jim did cone up, spend most of a day trying to convance me, and If still refused to be part oi ito

This reflects the emotion under which I wrote it and what I have in mind may not be entirely clear. It is two separate things, trying to force DJ to collect from me in the Maryland courts where I may be able to get a tried and filing ny own petition for an en banc review. I did not mean that I would ignore forms entirely in the latter. I would, for example, begins with a statement of the issues or questions and then take them up one at a tine, with documentation.

There also is the question of representation before Smith and to begin with Id want to fer file a motion to recuse.

I had time to give this further thought during my walking therapy this morning and I have it a bit more clearly in mind. I was not trying to be my own devil's advocate and I like even more the idea of my filing a political petition, which ought go to all the judges and I can circulate elsewhere, and because of my unique situation and the ignored record I've made in the litigation would, whatever the ultimate outcome, be no loss to me or anyone or anything else. It could be very powerful before the Congress if anyone in it gets interested.

Tr If I scare you, let me tell you a story I remember from my very young days, before high school. A French general, perhaps Foch at the Marne, faced what appeared to be certain disaster. What he dec ied, said and ultimately prevailed by doing is, "My left flank is turned, my center is retreating and my right flank is defeated. Good! I attack!" We won!

> Best wishes,

