Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 84-5058

September Term, 19 83 CA 78-00322

. Harold Weisberg,

Appellant

William H. Webster, Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation, et al.

And Consolidated Case No. 84-5059

84-5201

Harold Weisberg and James H. Lesar,

Appellants

CA 78-00322

William H. Webster, Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation, et al.

84-5202

Harold Weisberg and James H. Lesar,

Appellants

CA 78-00420

United States Court of Appeals for the district of Columbia Circuit

Federal Bureau of Investigation, et al.

FILED APR 1 8 1984

ORDER

WALL AL FIDITUR CLERK

It is ORDERED, sua sponte, that the above captioned cases are consolidated.

For the Court:

George A. Fisher, Clerk

Daniel M. Cathey

First Deputy Clerk

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 84-5058

Harold Weisberg

v.

William H. Webster

And consolidated case no. 84-5201

September Term, 19
United States Court of Appeals
For The District of Columbia Circuit

FILED FEB 20 1833

GEORGE A. FISHER

BEFORE: Robinson, Chief Judge, Wright, Tamm, Wald, Mikva, Edwards, Ginsburg, Bork, Scalia and Starr, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of Appellant's Suggestion for Rehearing

en banc, filed January 11, 1985, and of the Petition of Appellant
for permission to proceed out of order and add to the Suggestion,
it is

ORDERED, by the Court en banc, that the Petition for leave to file a supplement to the Suggestion is denied and, the Suggestion having been circulated to the full Court and no member having requested the taking of a vote thereon, it is

FURTHER ORDERED, by the Court en banc, that Appellant's Suggestion for Rehearing en banc is denied.

FOR THE COURT GEORGE A. FISHER, CLERK

> Robert A. Bonner Chief Deputy Clerk

"In the instant action," Lockwood states at page 629, "plaintiffs have been greatly prejudiced bu defendants" fourteen years delay," but Weisberg acted as rapidly as he considered proper and possible, without any delay. During that 14 years almost all the witnesses died and the new evidence was largely an affidavits by an admitted incompetent - who had been availabel before she became incompetent. Lockwood says that the conditions in that case made granting relief under the conditions existing in that case is inequitable but posits the possibility of relief "where no such conditions have arisen - no such equities intervened - mere lapse of time that is not so excessive as to warrant a presumption of their existence ought not bar relief where actual fraud has been committed "(Citing 36 App. D.C.315(1911))

. 1

ŕ

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 84-5058

Harold Weisberg

V.

William H. Webster

And consolidated case no. 84-5201

September Term, 19 United States Court of Appeals For The District of Columbia Circuit

FILED FEB 20 1985

GEORGE A. FISHER

BEFORE: Wald and Scalia, Circuit Judges, and Wilkey, Senior Circuit Judge

ORDER

Upon consideration of the Petition for Rehearing of
Appellant Weisberg, filed January 11, 1985, and of the
Petition of Appellant for permission to proceed out of order
and add to petition already filed it is

ORDERED, by the Court, that the Clerk is directed to file the supplement of Appellant Weisberg and it is

FURTHER ORDERED, by the Court, that the aforesaid Petition for Rehearing is denied.

FOR THE COURT GEORGE A. FISHER, CLERK

Robert A. Bonner Chief Deputy Clerk

Senior Circuit Judge Wilkey did not participate in this Order.

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 84-5058

Harold Weisberg

v.

William H. Webster

And consolidated case no. 84-5201

September Term, 19

United States Court of Appeals For The District of Columbia Circuit

FILED FEB 20 1803

GEORGE A. FISHER

BEFORE: Robinson, Chief Judge, Wright, Tamm, Wald, Mikva, Edwards, Ginsburg, Bork, Scalia and Starr, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of Appellant's Suggestion for Rehearing

en banc, filed January 11, 1985, and of the Petition of Appellant
for permission to proceed out of order and add to the Suggestion,
it is

ORDERED, by the Court en banc, that the Petition for leave to file a supplement to the Suggestion is denied and, the Suggestion having been circulated to the full Court and no member having requested the taking of a vote thereon, it is

FURTHER ORDERED, by the Court en banc, that Appellant's Suggestion for Rehearing en banc is denied.

FOR THE COURT GEORGE A. FISHER, CLERK

Robert A. Bonner

Chief Deputy Clerk

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 84-5058

Harold Weisberg

v.

William H. Webster

And consolidated case no. 84-5201

September Term, 19 United States Court of Appeals. For The District of Columbia Circuit

FILED FEB 20 1993

GEORGE A. FISHER

BEFORE: Wald and Scalia, Circuit Judges, and Wilkey, Senior Circuit Judge

ORDER

Upon consideration of the Petition for Rehearing of
Appellant Weisberg, filed January 11, 1985, and of the
Petition of Appellant for permission to proceed out of order
and add to petition already filed it is

ORDERED, by the Court, that the Clerk is directed to file the supplement of Appellant Weisberg and it is

FURTHER ORDERED, by the Court, that the aforesaid Petition for Rehearing is denied.

FOR THE COURT GEORGE A. FISHER, CLERK

Robert A. Bonner Chief Deputy Clerk

Senior Circuit Judge Wilkey did not participate in this Order.

Court of Appeals

No. 84-5058

Harold Weisberg

V.

William H. Webster

And consolidated case no. 84-5201

September Term, 19
United States Court of Appeals.

For The District of Columbia Circuit

FILED FEB 20 1883

GEORGE A. FISHER CLERK

Robinson, Chief Judge, Wright, Tamm, Wald, Mikva, Edwards, BEFORE: Ginsburg, Bork, Scalia and Starr, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of Appellant's Suggestion for Rehearing en banc, filed January 11, 1985, and of the Petition of Appellant for permission to proceed out of order and add to the Suggestion, it is

ORDERED, by the Court en banc, that the Petition for leave to file a supplement to the Suggestion is denied and, the Suggestion having been circulated to the full Court and no member having requested the taking of a vote thereon, it is

FURTHER ORDERED, by the Court en banc, that Appellant's Suggestion for Rehearing en banc is denied.

> FOR THE COURT GEORGE A. FISHER, CLERK

Robert A. Bonner Chief Deputy Clerk

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 84-5058

Harold Weisberg

v.

William H. Webster

And consolidated case no. 84-5201

10

September Term, 19 United States Court of Appeals For The District of Columbia Circuit

FILED FEB 20 1805

GEORGE A. FISHER

BEFORE: Wald and Scalia, Circuit Judges, and Wilkey, Senior Circuit Judge

ORDER

Upon consideration of the Petition for Rehearing of Appellant Weisberg, filed January 11, 1985, and of the Petition of Appellant for permission to proceed out of order and add to petition already filed it is

ORDERED, by the Court, that the Clerk is directed to file the supplement of Appellant Weisberg and it is

FURTHER ORDERED, by the Court, that the aforesaid Petition for Rehearing is denied.

FOR THE COURT GEORGE A. FISHER, CLERK

Robert A. Bonner Chief Deputy Clerk

Senior Circuit Judge Wilkey did not participate in this Order.

No. 84-5058

Harold Weisberg

v.

William H. Webster

And consolidated case no. 84-5201

September Term, 19
United States Court of Appeals.

For The District of Columbia Circuit

FILED FEB 20 1883

GEORGE A. FISHER CLERK

Robinson, Chief Judge, Wright, Tamm, Wald, Mikva, Edwards, Ginsburg, Bork, Scalia and Starr, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of Appellant's Suggestion for Rehearing en banc, filed January 11, 1985, and of the Petition of Appellant for permission to proceed out of order and add to the Suggestion, it is

ORDERED, by the Court en banc, that the Petition for leave to file a supplement to the Suggestion is denied and, the Suggestion having been circulated to the full Court and no member having requested the taking of a vote thereon, it is

FURTHER ORDERED, by the Court en banc, that Appellant's Suggestion for Rehearing en banc is denied.

> FOR THE COURT GEORGE A. FISHER, CLERK

BY: Robert Bonne Robert A. Bonner Chief Deputy Clerk