Dear Jim, 7/27/84

Cormish Hitchcock's seven pages and five lines succeeds in stating an absolute minimum so I'm glad you are satisfied with it. I feel that he could have done much more with very few additional words. In content it is a contribution toward a be-kind-to-government hastards campaign. In what it don'ts entirely and in what he understands states so much.

**Taking that between b'I dain I section of states about ev'I

You told me he used the Stanton decision. He doesn't and if you can think of a good reason for that outsion and the dimension it represents I'd like to know it; as Oh; well; I guess it is good to know that one can live without blood, and wan injurity own view to that this makes the excellent changes have Lynch made much more more important, eval won I of few or elds need for ov'I task sold blood, or night we absolute

I can't remember that he even reminded the court that the entirely undisputed the case record is clear on your attempt to persuede me. I think he used the word a bun, to "coax" defensively and without reference to the actuality bus essemble of the desurement.

My view is that we and the kind of society we've had are in a dangerous period and that if there are not to be more radical changes in the direction of an authoritarianism the laws will require advocates who recognize the possibility and behave as men, not milquetoasts.

Well, I guess we've done what we could for the Sct and lawyers in general, and we can be content with our efforts.

I'm particularly pleased that Eynch recognized this in the changes he made.

I'm not suggesting that Hitchcock is any kind of bad buy and I presume he is much younger than I and thus has not lived through what I've lived through, but he has lived long enough to recognize what is happening and, I think, ought be capable of the kind of acceptable vigor both your interest and the situation would best be served by.

I presume you've read what the judge in the Delorean case had to say about the excesses of prosecutors and <u>their</u> withhelding on discovery. It just is not true that all judges always dislike and resent exposure of official wrongdoing.

I have a letter from Theoharis and I'll send you a copy when I respond. I'd probably have done some searching and copying from two readily accessible files if I had not had to take the more important of my hearing aids back for repair and then, while away, gone ahead with other errands. Then we had to get the plumber for minor repairs, so there wasn't much of the day left. Theoharis expects a contract and to complete his biography in a year. He said that he found my 4/19/84 to you and my filling in of blanks in the 0 & C records interesting and helpful. Before I go over

the files of separ ate copies of Hoover's memos and notes for him I'm going to see if