

Dear Jim,

8/7/84

I'd intended writing you further before sending you the copy of what I sent Les, but before I put the stuff in the envelopes Lil called to me to exclaim that we have no water! Again! The pump was running but there was no pressure in the tank. This means that in all likelihood we again pumped our well dry. (It never fails but has only a very slow recovery capacity.) Examination disclosed that the toilet was running again, after just having been fixed last week for I can't tell you what number of times. We could not hear it or the pump because of the air conditioner noise. So, instead of going out to mail the envelopes and have supper we are at home and hoping that the plumber comes this evening. Some young people who were here swimming took the envelopes into town for me.

What I have in mind is asking you to think of something, not as you'd anticipate a judge would today but as a matter of fact if not law. That something is the effort to defraud us of money by false representations to a court.

Forget, please, in thinking about this, what has come to be accepted by both judges and lawyers, whether or not they should. Strictly speaking, is this not attempted fraud? (In this money-oriented society.)

I think it is.

I also think that fabricatuons, whether or not to attempt fraud, exceed any reasonable interpretation of diligent advocacy.

And I would like to do something about it.

In layman's terms they have attempted to defraud us, and I'm hoping that appeals to Les and Jack

And I do think there would be repercussions if I were to sue the USA, the head of the Civil Division and a couple of other Reaganites for fraud!

Oh! if only a Hirschkop could get interested!

They've really gone much too far this time, as I think I told you they would wind up having to when they first suggested contempt and I thumbed my nose at them.

I very much look forward to seeing their reply briefs, if any.

Would you please see what Bud thinks about the question of attempted fraud?

Best,