

Dear Jim,

7/27/84

Gornish Hitchcock's seven pages and five lines succeeds in stating an absolute minimum so I'm glad you are satisfied with it. I feel that he could have done much more with very few additional words. In context it is a contribution toward a he-kind-to-government bastards campaign. In what it omits entirely and in what he under-states so much.

You told me he used the Statton decision. He doesn't, and if you can think of a good reason for that omission and the dimension it represents I'd like to know it. Oh, well, I guess it is good to know that one can live without blood.

My own view is that this makes the excellent changes that Lynch made much more important, even won I or you or side need you or I just as it is.

I can't remember that he even reminded the court that the entirely undisputed case record is clear on your attempt to persuade me, I think he used the word "coax" defensively and without reference to the actuality.

My view is that we and the kind of society we've had are in a dangerous period and that if there are not to be more radical changes in the direction of an authoritarianism the laws will require advocates who recognize the possibility and behave as men, not milquetoasts.

Well, I guess we've done what we could for the Set and lawyers in general, and we can be content with our efforts.

I'm particularly pleased that Lynch recognized this in the changes he made.

I'm not suggesting that Hitchcock is any kind of bad guy and I presume he is much younger than I and thus has not lived through what I've lived through, but he has lived long enough to recognize what is happening and, I think, ought be capable of the kind of acceptable vigor both your interest and the situation would best be served by.

I presume you've read what the judge in the DeLorean case had to say about the excesses of prosecutors and their withholding on discovery. It just is not true that all judges always dislike and resent exposure of official wrongdoing.

I have a letter from Theoharis and I'll send you a copy when I respond. I'd probably have done some searching and copying from two readily accessible files if I had not had to take the more important of my hearing aids back for repair and then, while away, gone ahead with other errands. Then we had to get the plumber for minor repairs, so there wasn't much of the day left. Theoharis expects a contract and to complete his biography in a year. He said that he found my 4/19/84 to you and my filling in of blanks in the O & C records interesting and helpful. Before I go over

the files of separate copies of Hoover's memos and notes for him I'm going to see if

1045